

No. MD / PMAY / F. No.       /       /  
Date:


**Office Note:**

**Subject: PMAY – HFA (U)**

**Minutes of 29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SLAC**

The 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of SLAC for PMAY-HFA (U) was held on 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, in the chamber of Hon'ble Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department at the 3rd floor Office, Mantralaya, Mumbai, and as directed by Hon'ble Additional Chief Secretary.


The Minutes are submitted herewith for approval.




Executive Engineer-I/PMAY,  
MHADA & Member Secretary,  
SLAC/PMAY



Chief Engineer-II/PMAY  
& SLNO, PMAY



V.P. & C.E.O./MHADA ,  
Mission Director-PMAY  
& Vice Chairman, SLAC/PMAY



Additional Chief Secretary,  
Housing Department  
& Chairman, SLAC/PMAY

**Minutes of the  
29<sup>th</sup> Meeting of State Level Appraisal Committee (SLAC) for PMAY- HFA (U)**

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

## Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Important Observations/Directions of SLAC _____   | 7  |
| 29/1: Confirmation of minutes of 28 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of SLAC under PMAY held on 21.11.2019 _____   | 9  |
| Projects under ISSR _____   | 9  |
| 29/2: Construction of 153 EWS under ISSR, at Mahakali Nagar, Valmikli Nagar, and Laxmi Vasahat _____  | 9  |
| 29/3: Construction of 116 EWS under ISSR, at Kachhi Mohalla slum, Patel Mohalla slum _____  | 10 |
| Projects under AHP _____  | 11 |
| 29/4: Construction of 1056 EWS & 336 LIG DU's under Phase II of Nakshtrowadi, Aurangabad _____  | 11 |
| 29/5: Construction of 1000 DUs through Pandit Dindayal Upadhyay Asanghatith Kamgar Sahakari Sanstha @ S. No. 6664/5B Solapur _____                        | 12 |
| 29/6: Construction of 3317 EWS & 1566 LIG in Sector 12 of PCNTDA. _____   | 13 |
| 29/7: Construction of 400 EWS & 150 MIG at Site No. 1A, S.No. 60/1A, 60/1B, 60/2A+2B & 60/3 Mouza Warwade, Tal. Shirpur Warwade, Dist. Dhule _____        | 13 |
| 29/8: Construction of 479 EWS, 157 LIG and 90 shops at Mahakali Nagar, Valmikli Nagar, Tapa Naka at Panvel _____  | 14 |
| 29/9: Construction of 1482 EWS and 96 shops at Kachhi Mohalla slum, Patel Mohalla slum _____  | 15 |
| Projects under AHP/PPP _____  | 15 |
| 29/10: Construction of 832 EWS, 591 LIG at S.No. 23/1, 23/2/A, 23/5/B, 23/8, 23/9, 23/10, 23/11, 23/12, 23/13 & 12/3 at village Bhainderpada, Thane _____ | 15 |
| 29/11: Construction of 490 EWS Dus at S.No. 133/4/1 at Ambejogai Dist Beed. _____   | 16 |
| 29/12: Construction of 520 EWS Dus at gat No 22/1 & 21/1 Wasangaon, Dist Latur _____  | 17 |
| 29/13: Construction of 150 EWS DU's on Survey No. 71 Hissa No. 2 /1 of village Kolgaon Municipal Area Tal. Sawantwadi Dist. Sindhudurg. _____             | 18 |
| 29/14: Construction of 190 EWS Gut No. 223/B/2 at Ausa, Dist Latur _____  | 19 |
| 29/15: Construction of 11758 EWS on C.T.S. No. 1627/A, S.No. 169(P) at Marol Maroshi, Tal. Goregoan & Dist. Mumbai. _____                                 | 20 |
| 29/16: Construction of 230 EWS on S.No. 2/3/A at village Bamnoli, Karjat. _____   | 20 |
| 29/17: Construction of 556 EWS, 189 LIG & 24 shops at S.No. 103/3/A and S.No. 103/3/4 at village Kamatghar, Bhivandi. _____                               | 21 |
| 29/18: Construction of 3288 EWS & 36 LIG at S.No. 145, 146 & 147/1 at village Pen Tal Pen, Dist. Raigad _____   | 22 |
| 29/19: Construction of 620 EWS Du under PMAY AHP-PPP on S.No. 82/3, 82/5/A, 82/5/B, 82/6, 82/7 at Palaspe, Panvel _____                                   | 23 |
| 29/20: Construction of 260 EWS+27 LIG+10 Shops on S.No. 109(P) 109/a/2/3/6/8/1 at village Narpoli, Tal- Bhiwandi. _____                                   | 24 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 29/21: Construction of 200 EWS & 148 LIG at Kh.No.50/1, Ph.No.6, Mouza Digdoh, Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur. _____  | 26 |
| 29/22: Construction of 56 EWS & 84 LIG at Kh.No.381, Ph.No.46, Mouza Wandongri Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur. _____  | 26 |
| 29/23: Construction of 56 EWS & 77 LIG at Kh. No.380, Ph.No.46, Mouza Wandongri Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur. _____   | 27 |
| 29/24: Construction of 259 Dus (92 EWS & 162 LIG) at Kh.No.50/1, Ph.No.6, Mouza Digdoh, Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur. _____   | 28 |
| 29/25: Construction of 107 Dus (68EWS & 39 LIG) at Kh.No 9/1, Mouza Gavasi Manapur, Tal.Nagpur (Rural), Dist. Nagpur. _____  | 29 |
| 29/26: Construction of 271 Dus (175 EWS & 96 LIG ) at S.No.111, Village Bhadwad Tal.Bhivandi, Dist. Thane _____  | 30 |
| 29/27: Construction of 498 Dus at Gat.No.291/1,291/2 at village Nagobachiwadi. Tal. Barshi Dist. Pune. _____   | 31 |
| 29/28: Construction of 296 EWS, 50LIG, 56 Shops Dus at S.No.5/3/4A,5/4B/5A at Dhanori, Pune _____  | 32 |
| 29/29: Construction of 812 EWS, at S.No.145 H.No. 11,12,13 & S. No 149/1 at Ghotsai, Tal.Kalyan Dist. Thane _____  | 32 |
| 29/30: Construction of 81 EWS & 54 LIG DUs @ Gat. No15at Niphad Dist: Nashik _____   | 33 |
| 29/31: Construction of 154 EWS DUs @ Gat. No223/2 at Niphad Dist. Nashik _____   | 34 |
| 29/32: Construction of 241 EWS & 75 LIG DUs @ S No5/1,69/1/1,9 at Village Bhadwad, Tal.Bhivandi Dist..Thane _____  | 35 |
| 29/33: Construction of 408 EWS DUs @ S No335 at Village Manmad Tal.Nandgaon, Dist. Nashik _____  | 36 |
| 29/34: Construction of 104 EWS DUs @ S No 411/ 1/B /2B/ 1B/2 at Village Tongaon Tal. Bhadgaon, Dist. Jalgaon _____   | 37 |
| 29/35: Construction of 84 EWS &56 LIG Dus at plot no 30,31,32,33 @ SNo.69/1+2(part)+3 at Village Nashik Tal. & Dist. Nashik _____  | 38 |
| 29/36: Construction of 244 EWS DUs @ gut no 253 S No 107/2/A at Village Tal. Pachora Dist. Jalgaon _____   | 39 |
| 29/37: Construction of 2364 EWS DUs @ Gut no 120/1,2,3 at Village Nimkhedi Tal. Jalgaon, Dist. Jalgaon _____<br>Projects under AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land _____   | 40 |
| 29/38: Construction of 2861 Ts under AHP under PMAY on (JV) with Government land owner bearing CTS no. 220, 341, 342, 343, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 420, 424, TP Scheme Final Plot no. 242, 243, 271, 132, 277, 277A, 277B, 227C, 258, 257, 262, 263, 227 at Baramati nagar parishad Tal-Baramati Dist- Pune, State-Maharashtra. _____ | 40 |
| 29/39: Construction of 927 EWS Tenements under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.1132,1133A/1/A at Shirur, Tal. Nshirur, District-Pune, State-Maharashtra _____  | 41 |
| 29/40: Construction of 704 EWS, 396 LIG & 112 shops Tenements under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.49/2 at Yavatmal. _____  | 43 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 29/41: Construction of 1128 EWS, 450LIG & 94 shops under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.65 at Yavatmal.  | 44 |
| 29/42: Construction of 768 EWS, 468 LIG & 44 Shops under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.41 at Yavatmal.  | 46 |
| Projects under AHP-JV on Pvt Land   | 47 |
| 29/43: Construction of 9473 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S.No. 141, 142, 143, 144, 102,103, 104, 86, 88, 89, 244(p), 90/A, 243A, 82B, 83B, 84, 85/B, 91/A, 90/B, 91/B, 93/A, 93/B, 92, 81(p), 82/A(p), 83/A(p), 58/1/A(p), 94/B(p) at Diva Tal- Thane, District Thane, State-Maharashtra.  | 47 |
| 29/44: Construction of 3601 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S.No. 51, 52 at Mouza Khairi, 59/2, 60 at Mouze Bhilgaon, Tal- Kamathi, District-Nagpur, State-Maharashtra.   | 48 |
| 29/45: Construction of 1368 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 165/1 & 166/1 at Mouza Dhabha, Nagpur State Maharashtra  | 49 |
| 29/46: Construction of 1640 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 95/1 & 95/2 At Waghdhara, Tal-Hingana Dist- Nagpur, State-Maharashtra.   | 50 |
| 29/47: Construction of 1640 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 42/1, 239, 240 at Titwala, Taluka- Kalyan, District: Thane, State Maharashtra.   | 51 |
| 29/48: Construction of 5740 Tenements under AHP under PMAY Housing Scheme on private land bearing S. No. 98/3/D/1,2,3, 121/6, 121/8, 145/2/A, 145/4/A, 145/4/B, 122/6, 145/3/A, 145/3/B, 145/5/A, 145/5/B, 123/1/C, 124, 125/11,12,13,14, 138/1/A, 123/1/A, 123/1/B, 123/2, 125/6/A, 125/6/B, 125/6/E, 125/6/C, 125/7/A, 125/7/B, 125/7/D, 125/7/E, 125/8, 125/9, 125/10, 126/1/A,B, 126/2/B, 126/3,5,6,7, 130/1,2, 138/2,3 at Titwala, Tal. Kalyan, District -Thane, State-Maharashtra | 52 |
| 29/49: Construction of 1608 Tenements under AHP under PMAY on Private land bearing S. No. 107 at Chunchale, Tal. Nashik, District -Nashik, State-Maharashtra  | 53 |
| Projects under BLC – Revision of Project  | 54 |
| 26/50: Construction of 5 EWS Dus in various locations in Talegaon Dabhade Municipal Council, District Pune  | 54 |
| Projects under BLC – Gender Modification Request  | 54 |
| 26/51: Construction of 221 EWS Dus under BLC at various places in UMARKHED MUNICIPAL COUNCIL ( DPR - 3)   | 54 |
| Projects under BLC  | 55 |
| Capacity Building Plan 2020-21  | 64 |
| Annexure-I (List of Participants)   | 65 |
| Annexure – II (List of 82 BLC Projects with details)  | 66 |

**Minutes of the 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of State Level Appraisal Committee (SLAC) for PMAY- HFA (U) held on 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

1. The minutes are as follows.

| <b>Important Observations/Directions of SLAC</b> |  |
|--|--|
| 1.   | There is a large gap in number of applications received on PMAY-MIS portal and validation thereof. All the ULBs are therefore directed to complete the validation of beneficiary on top priority and ensure that eligible applicants are included in the project proposals submitted for approval. |
| 2.   | ULBs to ensure completion of the entire project related entries in PMAY-MIS urgently.  |
| 3.   | ULBs/ Implementing agencies to also ensure completion of all entries in PMAY-MIS and beneficiary attachment before submitting any project proposals.   |
| 4.   | The Implementing Agencies are directed to complete the MIS entries of beneficiaries including Aadhar seeding.  |
| 5.   | ULBs/ Implementing Agencies to obtain all the approvals/ clearances/ Permissions/ NOCs etc. as required under prevailing statute for their proposals at their own level. ULBs/ Implementing agencies should also adhere to their regular process of approval as per prevailing statute.            |
| 6.   | Hon'ble Chief Secretary, emphasized on the need of early start of project/ houses approved under PMAY (U).   |
| 7.   | ULB/Implementing agencies/Developers to ensure all the plots under the project are in developable zone.  |
| 8.   | ULB/Implementing agencies/Developers to ensure the plot area of the beneficiaries which has been considered in this DPR is developable as per ULB's Building Bye-laws.   |
| 9.   | ULB to ensure that the Aadhar details of beneficiaries with regards to their number and name is accurate while updating in PMAY(U) MIS.  |
| 10.  | ULB/Implementing agencies/Developers to ensure that Carpet Area considered for the house should be as per the amendment made in guidelines of PMAY (U).  |
| 11.  | ULBs/Implementing agencies/Developers to ensure that all the documents attached with DPR are certified by competent authority of ULB.  |
| 12.  | ULB/Implementing Agency/ Developer to ensure the availability of proper road connectivity, Water supply and Power NOC from concerned authority, availability of Solid waste management etc. with the proposed project site.  |
| 13.  | In AHP / PPP Projects/Joint venture project, demand risk shall be borne by the developer / Project proponent.  |
| 14.  | All the implementing Agencies /Developers are directed to ensure basic infrastructure such as access road, water supply, electricity is available to the project.  |
| 15.  | All other statutory permissions / NOCs like environmental clearance if needed be obtained by the project proponent / I.A.  |
| 16.  | The Project Proponent, implementing agency is solely responsible for ownership and development issues of land. Mere approval of DPR doesn't  |

approve title of the land and other land and development issues. It is sole responsibility of the Project Proponent, implementing agency.

17. The Chairman SLAC & Chief Secretary, again directed that the ULB wise targets given are indicative and proposals beyond target should be solicited.

18. The Chairman SLAC & Chief Secretary, directed that for AHP-PPP projects the possibility of funds routed through RERA escrow account be explored and if it is possible then both State and Central share fund should be routed through RERA escrow account.

19. The ULB/Implementing agencies/Project proponents/Developers to comply with the observations of SLAC. They should also ensure that the projects are completed before stipulated PMAY (U) mission period or project period whichever is earlier.

**29/1: Confirmation of minutes of 28<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SLAC under PMAY held on 21.11.2019**

The minutes of 28<sup>th</sup> meeting of SLAC were confirmed.

**The projects for 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of SLAC and discussions and appraisal are as below: -**

**Projects under ISSR**

**29/2: Construction of 153 EWS under ISSR, at Mahakali Nagar, Valmikli Nagar, and Laxmi Vasahat**

**A. Basic Information: -**

| Component                   | ISSR                          |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name of Implementing Agency | Panvel Municipal Corporation. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3167.08 Lakh              |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 153 Lakh                  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 153 Lakh.                 |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 2677.48 Lakh.             |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 183.6 Lakh.               |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 153                           |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in the name of Panvel Municipal Corporation. and within Municipal Limits.
- 2) The land under these slums are declared as slum area.
- 3) Approach Road of 9.0 is available.
- 4) Assurance for Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.
- 5) **The sale Price of EWS DU is proposed as Rs. 3.2 Lakhs. for the beneficiaries residing in slum before year 2000. The slum rehabilitation of slum dwellers residing before 2000 is to be done free of cost, however in the present case the beneficiary share is 1.2 lakhs which needs clarification. However the express consent from slum dwellers is not attached with the DPR.**
- 6) **The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY scheme dead line of 2022, which needs to be curtailed up to mission period.**

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval.

**29/3: Construction of 116 EWS under ISSR, at Kachhi Mohalla slum, Patel Mohalla slum**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                              |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Component                   | ISSR                         |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Panvel Municipal Corporation |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1596.17 Lakh             |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 116 Lakh                 |
| State Share                 | Rs. 116 Lakh                 |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1224.97 Lakh             |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 139.2 Lakh               |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 116                          |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in the name of Panvel Municipal Corporation. and within Municipal Limits.
- 2) The land under these slums are declared as slum area.
- 3) Approach Road of 9.0 is available.
- 4) Assurance for Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.
- 5) **The sale Price of EWS DU is proposed as Rs. 3.20 Lakhs. for the beneficiaries residing in slum before year 2000. The slum rehabilitation of slum dwellers residing before 2000 is to be done free of cost, however in the present case the beneficiary share is 1.20 lakhs. However the express consent from slum dwellers is not attached with the DPR.**
- 6) **The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY scheme deadline of 2022, which needs to be curtailed up to mission period.**

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval.

## **Projects under AHP**

### **29/4: Construction of 1056 EWS & 336 LIG DU's under Phase II of Nakshtrawadi, Aurangabad**

#### **A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                         |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Component                   | AHP                     |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Aurangabad Board, MHADA |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 14414.4 Lakh        |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1584.00 Lakh        |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1056.00 Lakh        |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 0 Lakh              |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 11774.4 Lakh        |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1056                    |

#### **B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) There is no mention of details of Phase I and also status that of in the proposal.
- 2) There is no specific mention of feasibility of sale of EWS & LIG T/s with the proposed sale price.
- 3) The Sale price proposed for EWS in phase I which is approved in 10th CSMC meeting dt.22.07.2016 is Rs.9.77 lakh against now proposed Rs.13.65 lakh. Infra cost seems at higher side Thus cost is on higher side. The sale price is prepared as for Authority fund & not as per "157" ACS. Chief officer to Justify the cost per unit considering the ASR
- 4) DPR scrutiny sheet not enclosed.
- 5) Executive summary not enclosed.
- 6) Undertaking (20 points) not enclosed.
- 7) There is no mention of approach road in the proposal.
- 8) Assurance for w/s & Electric supply needs to be enclosed.
- 9) Location of site to be marked on DP plan.
- 10) Carpet area calculation for each unit as per RERA not enclosed.
- 11) Certificates regarding land free from encumbrances is not enclosed.
- 12) All estimates are not certified by Executive Engineer.

#### **C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval.

**29/5: Construction of 1000 DUs through Pandit Dindayal Upadhyay Asanghatith Kamgar Sahakari Sanstha @ S. No. 6664/5B Solapur**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Pandit Deendayal Upadhyay Asanghatit Kamgar Sahakari Gruhanirman Sanstha |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 6807.80 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1500.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1000.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 0 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 4307.80 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1000   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) Chief Officer, Pune Board to verify as to whether the DPR now submitted is different that earlier approved 1000 EWS DPR of Pandit Dindayal Upadhyay Asanghatith Kamgar Sahakari Griha Sanstha.
  - 2) The proposal is submitted by society, however as per guidelines only ULBs, Housing agency like MHADA, CIDCO, PMRDA, NMRDA are implementing agencies except for Ray Nagar Federation. While approval to the earlier DPR, the matter was discussed in 22nd SLSMC and SLSMC directed to issue necessary orders to include society as implementing agency by Government. However, the same is yet to be done.
  - 3) All Annexures, Executive summary, checklist are filled up in ink by overwriting, all these shall be fairly typed and resubmitted.
  - 4) Annexure to be signed by both Chief Officer, Pune Board and Chairman of the society.
  - 5) DPR scrutiny checklist not enclosed.
  - 6) Solapur Municipal Corporation has not given firm assurance for water supply as the same is outside Municipal Corporation limit.
  - 7) Proposed project is not in Mission city and at a distance of 0.50 km from municipal limit.
  - 8) As regards approach road, there is 12 m WBM road is available.
  - 9) Plan and estimates are not certified by Board officials.
- Please comply above remarks and resubmit the proposal. It is requested to comply the points raised and resubmit the proposal for consideration of SLAC, SLSMC & CSMC.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/6: Construction of 3317 EWS &1566 LIG in Sector 12 of PCNTDA.****A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Pimpri Chinchwad New Town development Authority. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 32838.30 Lakh                                |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 4975.50 Lakh                                 |
| State Share                 | Rs. 3317.00 Lakh                                 |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 24545.80 Lakh                                |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 3317   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) As stated by CEO the subjected scheme was never submitted for approval before any state level meeting of PMAY nor the same is rejected.
- 2) Land is in R- Zone.
- 3) Approach Road of 24m is available.
- 4) The sale Price of EWS DU is Rs. 9.90 Lakhs
- 5) The plans showing the details of S.No. included in the sector12 is not attached with DPR.
- 6) The plan showing carpet area of one unit is not attached with DPR.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/7: Construction of 400 EWS &150 MIG at Site No. 1A, S.No. 60/1A, 60/1B, 60/2A+2B & 60/3 Mouza Warwade, Tal. Shirpur Warwade, Dist. Dhule****A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                                   |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Component                   | AHP                               |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Shirpur Warwade Municipal Council |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3523.20 Lakh                  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 600.00 Lakh                   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 400.00 Lakh                   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0                                 |

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs. 2523.20 Lakh |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 400              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in the name of Shirpur Warwade Municipal Council and within Municipal Limits.
- 2) Land is in R- Zone.
- 3) Approach Road of 15m & 12m are available.
- 4) Assurance for Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.
- 5) The sale Price of EWS DU is proposed as Rs. 8.808 Lakhs.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/8: Construction of 479 EWS,157 LIG and 90 shops at Mahakali Nagar, Valmikli Nagar,Tapa Naka at Panvel**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                              |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Component                   | AHP                          |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Panvel Municipal Corporation |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 15985.58 Lakh            |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 718.50 Lakh              |
| State Share                 | Rs. 479.00 Lakh              |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 8305.91 Lakh             |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 6428.15 Lakh             |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 479                          |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in the name of Panvel Municipal Corporation. and within Municipal Limits.
- 2) Land is in R- Zone.
- 3) Approach Road of 9.0 is available.
- 4) Assurance for Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.
- 5) The sale Price of EWS DU is proposed as:
  - a)10.78 for 155 Dus under at construction cost
  - b)10.87 for 150 Units at construction cost
  - c)25.15for free sale for 174 DUs
- 6) The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY scheme deadline of 2022, which needs to be curtailed up to mission period.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/9: Construction of 1482 EWS and 96 shops at Kachhi Mohalla slum, Patel Mohalla slum**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                              |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Component                   | AHP                          |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Panvel Municipal Corporation |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 28301.03 Lakh            |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 2223.00 Lakh             |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1482.00 Lakh             |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 4931.53 Lakh             |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 19664.50 Lakh            |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1482                         |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in the name of Panvel Municipal Corporation and within Municipal Limits.
- 2) Land is in R- Zone.
- 3) Approach Road of 9.0 is available.
- 4) Assurance for Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.
- 5) The sale Price of EWS DU is proposed as a)10.87 lakhs for 822v Dus b) 21.86 lakh for balance 660 DUs
- 6) The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY scheme deadline of 2022.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**Projects under AHP/PPP**

**29/10: Construction of 832 EWS, 591 LIG at S.No. 23/1, 23/2/A, 23/5/B, 23/8,23/9,23/10,23/11,23/12,23/13 &12/3 at village Bhainderpada, Thane**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Anjur Warehouse Pvt. Ltd. through Konkan Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 22913.00 Lakh                                   |

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Central Assistance         | Rs. 1248.00 Lakh |
| State Share                | Rs. 832.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share  | 0                |
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs. 20833 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 832              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in ECO sensitive zone. NOC from forest department is not given yet. It is mentioned in the minutes of meeting issued by Sanjay Gandhi National Park Eco Sensitive Zone Monitoring Committee and letter dt 26-6-2019 issued by member Secretary of committee that the committee will take decision regarding allowing this project after getting approval to the plans from planning authority. Such conditional proposals are not solicited.
- 2) The land is 150m from main Ghodbunder road, and the approach is from 6m existing road & 9, existing road. As per UD notification dt 7-3-2019 minimum 15m wide approach road is required.

It is not mentioned in the note as well as by the developer that the cost of DU's proposed for disposal of EWS & LIG as per pricing policy.

1. Regarding land ownership, the part land is owned by all applicants.
2. A) The estimated cost per DU as per DPR is Rs.31.52 lakh.  
B) Cost as per ASR is Rs. 23.199 lakhs  
C) Cost per DU demanded by PP is Rs.27.54 lakhs which is 18.71% above ASR cost. Which is in tune with pricing policy.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/11: Construction of 490 EWS Dws at S.No.133/4/1 at Ambejogai Dist Beed.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Modi Developers through Aurangabad Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3920.00 Lakh                         |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 735.00 Lakh                          |
| State Share                 | Rs. 490.00 Lakh                          |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 2695.00 Lakh                         |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 490                                      |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed site is located in fringe area of Ambejogai Municipal council outside 250 mtr. from the municipal limit.
- 2) The land is R Zone, the required access, on site & offsite infrastructure is available in the vicinity.
- 3) (a) The estimated cost per DU is Rs.8.00 lakh.  
 (b) The cost per DU as per ASR Rs. 5.19 lakh. (based on carpet area x1.1 (Rera carpet)  
 (c) The project proponent has claimed Rs.8.00kh per DU which is 54.14 % over ASR cost. which is more than 20% over ASR stipulated in GR.  
 (d) Hence the cost proposed is to be finalized by Pricing Committee.
- 4) Assurance for water supply from Ambejogai Municipal Council and Electric supply from MSEDCL is enclosed.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/12: Construction of 520 EWS Dus at gat No 22/1 & 21/1 Wasangaon, Dist Latur**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                      |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Divya Constructions through Aurangabad Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 4290.00 Lakh                             |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 780.00 Lakh                              |
| State Share                 | Rs. 520.00 Lakh                              |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 2990.00 Lakh                             |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 520  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed site is on fringe area abutting the municipal limit of Latur.
- 2) The land is R Zone, the required access, on site & offsite infrastructure is available in the vicinity.
- 3) (a)The estimated cost per DU is Rs.9.60 lakh.  
 (b)The cost per DU as per ASR Rs.6.925 lakh.( based on carpet area x1.1 ( Rera carpet)  
 (c) The difference between ASR & Estimated is 38.63%  
 (d)The project proponent has claimed Rs.8.25 lakh per DU Which is 19.25% over ASR cost.  
 (e) The incentive over ASR allowed in this case is 20%, The project proponent has demanded cost per DU within allowable incentive. The

SLSMC to consider the same.

- 4) Assurance for water supply from Latur Municipal Corporation and Electric supply from MSEDCCL is enclosed.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/13: Construction of 150 EWS DU's on Survey No. 71 Hissa No. 2 /1 of village Kolgaon Muncipal Area Tal. Sawantwadi Dist. Sindhudurg.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Shri. V.V. Deshpande in partnership with Konkan Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1326.00 Lakh                                       |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 225.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 150.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 951.00 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 150  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposal was placed before SLSMC dt.25/02/2019. The same was not approved since the water supply assurance was not submitted and inadequate width of access Road. Now the revised proposal is scrutinized and recommended by CO konkan board.
- 2) As per the said report it is seen that
  - a) the land is owned by project proponent.
  - b) the assurance given by MSEB for providing electric supply to project is attached with the DPR.
  - c) the assurance given by municipal council Sawantwadi for providing water supply is attached with the DPR.
  - d) the project is having 24 M. wide approach road.
  - e) The estimated cost of DU is 9.88 lakh. The cost as per ASR is 7.36 lakh. The difference is 34.24% over ASR. Project Proponent has demanded Rs. 8.84 lakh which is 20.10% over ASR. As per GR 20% incentive is permissible.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/14: Construction of 190 EWS Gut No.223/B/2 at Ausa, Dist Latur****A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. MJP Builders & Developers with Aurangabad Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1320.00 Lakh                                     |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 240.00 Lakh                                      |
| State Share                 | Rs. 160.00 Lakh                                      |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 920.00 Lakh                                      |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 160  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The said land falls within 0.50 km of mission city
- 2) Land falls under R Zone.
- 3) Land is owned by Partners of the firm.
- 4) Executive summary is in complete.
- 5) Annexure.II undertaking is in complete.
- 6) **Though it is stated in office note the direct access from 60m Solapur - Latur highway is not seen on Google map & DP plan. Hence access cannot be confirmly ascertained.**
- 7) Assurance for Water Supply & Electric supply from competent authority enclosed.
- 8) Notarized Partnership deed is submitted.
- 9) **a) Estimated cost prepared by Developer is 9.47 lakhs.**  
**b) Cost per DU as per ASR is wrongly calculated. Multiplying factor for conversion of carpet to Built up is considered twice, which comes to Rs.6.98 lakh.**  
**c) The cost per DU as per ASR as per pricing policy comes to Rs. 6.08 lakhs. The difference between ASR 7 estimated cost is 55.75%. Developer has demanded Rs.8.25 lakhs which is 35.69% above ASR & hence proposal needs to be referred to Pricing Committee.**

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/15: Construction of 11758 EWS on C.T.S. No. 1627/A, S.No. 169(P) at Marol Maroshi, Tal. Goregoan & Dist. Mumbai.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Royal Palms India Pvt. Ltd. In Partnership with Mumbai Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 352152.10  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 17637.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 11758.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 322757   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 11758  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposal is scrutinized and submitted by CO/ Mumbai Board.
- 2) The land is in green zone. Undertaking annexure 7B and executive summary are not signed by CO/ Mumbai board.
- 3) The land proposed is in Municipal Corporation of greater Mumbai limit. Project period considered in DPR is 36 month which is beyond PMAY mission Period. Approach of scheme is from 9.0 m wide road road however vas UDD notification min of 15.0 m road is required.
- 4) Cost as per estimate is Rs. 28.21 lakh as per ASR Rs.37.656 Lakh the percentage difference between ASR and estimated cost is 25.7% less than ASR cost. The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.29.95 lakh which is less than ASR cost.
- 5) Assurance for water supply, electricity is not enclosed. Detailed estimates are not submitted with proposal. Location is not marked on Dp plan also the DP plan is black and white hence cannot speak on zone and google sheet is not readable.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/16: Construction of 230 EWS on S.No. 2/3/A at village Bamnoli, Karjat.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                    |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Aansh Associates through Konkan Board |

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Project Cost               | Rs. 2542.00 Lakh |
| Central Assistance         | Rs. 300.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                | Rs. 200.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share  | 0                |
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs. 2042.00 Lakh |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 200              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed scheme is in Residential Zone
- 2) **The estimated cost of EWS calculated By Developer is Rs. 14.14 lakhs, the cost of EWS as per ASR is Rs. 11.56 lakhs. The difference is 22.32% over ASR cost, the project proponent has claimed Rs.12.71 lakhs which is 9.95% over ASR cost, which is within stipulated incentive allowed over ASR cost mentioned in the GR.**
- 3) Assurance for Electric supply from competent authority attached.
- 4) Assurance for water Supply is given by Karjat Municipal Council.
- 5) **The time period considered in DPR for the project is 36 months, which runs beyond PMAY deadline of 2022**
- 6) **The NA order issued by Collector / Raigad dt.08.02.2018 for commercial use & Gr+4 floors 14.50 mts height for one year. In the project the residential buildings. However, in the project Still+7 floors are proposed. The Project Proponent has given undertaking that they will not start construction as per earlier approval and cancel the building permission given by Collector. They will seek fresh approval as per PMAY guidelines from Competent Authority after the project is approved under PMAY.**

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

**29/17: Construction of 556 EWS, 189 LIG & 24 shops at S.No. 103/3/A and S.No.103/3/4 at village Kamatghar, Bhivandi.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Vidisha Developer through Konkan Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 6697.90 Lakh                       |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 697.50 Lakh                        |

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| State Share                | Rs. 465.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share  | 0                |
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs. 5535.36 Lakh |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 465              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R Zone. The land is Adiwasi land and no transaction is permitted without approval of Competent authority. The Chief Officer Bhivandi Nizampur M.C. has stated that there is no bar on Development. The developer has submitted undertaking that he will get sanction from collector for sale of DUs once the proposal is approved.
- 2) a) In the Earlier submission the Project proponent had proposed Estimated cost is Rs. 15.706 lakhs. Now the same revised based on Current schedule of rates which works out to Rs. 16.71 lakhs.  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs. 12.00 lakhs. The estimated cost is 39.25% over ASR.  
c) The project proponent has demanded Rs. 14.404 lakhs which is 20% over ASR cost. Which is permissible as per pricing policy GR.
- 3) Assurance for Water Supply & Electric Supply from competent authority is enclosed.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/18: Construction of 3288 EWS & 36 LIG at S.No.145,146 & 147/1 at village Pen Tal Pen, Dist. Raigad**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                      |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Anish Properties Pvt. Ltd. With Konkan Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 48046.00 Lakh                            |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 4932.00 Lakh                             |
| State Share                 | Rs. 3288.00 Lakh                             |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 39825.56 Lakh                            |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 3288   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) Land is in R - Zone.
- 2) Land is within Pen Municipal Council limit.
- 3) The Land is in the names of Partners of the Company.
- 4) a) Estimated cost is Rs. 16,38,000.00  
b) Cost as per ASR Rs. 12,17,700.00. The estimated cost of EWS is 34.56% over cost as per ASR.  
c) Cost of EWS demanded by Project Proponent Rs. 14,45,000.00. This is 18.73% over ASR cost, which is within permissible 20% as per GR.
- 5) It is mentioned that there is existing CC road, of width 12.00m However as per PMAY guidelines min. 15 m road is required for access. Further it is mentioned that 12 m DP road is proposed which will be constructed by the developer after getting Commencement certificate.
- 6) Assurance for water supply & electric supply are given by competent authorities.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/19: Construction of 620 EWS Du under PMAY AHP-PPP on S.No.82/3, 82/5/A,82/5/B, 82/6,82/7 at Palaspe, Panvel**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Platinum Corporation Smart & affordable residences LLP in partnership with Konkan Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 13987.20 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 930.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 620.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 12437.20 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 620   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land under project falls under NAINA area, CIDCO has given letter that the said land is not included in TP scheme.
- 2) The land is in N3 zone i.e. mixed zone. As per PMAY guidelines R zone, Green Zone & ND zone are covered in PMAY. The chief Officer has

submitted that as per DCPR for part IDP for NAINA Residential Development is allowed in N3 Zone.

- 3) Approach road of 12m service road along 60m Goa highway.
- 4) a) The estimated cost is Rs.26.55 lakhs.  
b) Cost as per ASR is calculated by considering ASR rate with 10% for land over 2 ha. & 10% for high rise buildings. As such the cost as per ASR for EWS is Rs. 18.95 lakhs. The difference between Estimated cost & ASR is 40.10%  
c) Cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs.22.51 lakhs which is 18.78% over ASR cost. As per pricing Policy 20% incentive is permissible over ASR cost.
- 5) The time period for the project considered is 36 months, which runs beyond mission period of PMAY.
- 6) Plans & Estimates are not certified by board officials.
- 7) Assurance for Water supply & Electric supply from competent Authority not enclosed.

#### **C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/20: Construction of 260 EWS+27 LIG+10Shops on S.No.109(P) 109/a/2/3/6/8/1 at village Narpoli, Tal-Bhiwandi.**

#### **A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Bhiwandi developers in partnership with Konkan Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3033.20 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 390.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 260.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs 2383.16 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 260   |

#### **B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is within Bhivandi Nizampur Municipal Limit.
- 2) The land is in R- Zone
- 3) Assurance for water supply & electric supply from competent authority enclosed.
- 4) The project site have access road of 6.00m wide road However as per UDD guidelines min. 15m wide road is required in Urbanised areas

- 5) a) The cost per unit as per earlier estimate is Rs.12,69,550/- Which is revised by PP to Rs.13.85 lakhs based on current Schedule of rates.
- 6) b) The cost as per ASR is 972202/- The difference between developer's price & price as per ASR is 42.53%.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/21: Construction of 200 EWS & 148 LIG at Kh.No.50/1, Ph.No.6, Mouza Digdoh, Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Neevstone Builders in partnership with Nagpur Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 2248.00 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 300.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 200.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs 1748.00 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 200  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed scheme is in NMR.
- 2) Percentage of Dus offered under PMAY as per pricing Policy 57%
- 3) The land is in R- Zone.
- 4) a) The estimated cost is Rs.12.32 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.10.22 lakhs. The estimated cost is 21% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs. 11.24 lakhs which is 9.98% over ASR cost, this is within permissible 10% as per GR.
- 5) The assurance of water supply from Digdoh gram panchayat enclosed, the same needs to be from MJP.
- 6) Assurance for electric supply is not attached
- 7) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 24m, however the same is not marked on DP.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/22: Construction of 56 EWS & 84 LIG at Kh.No381, Ph.No.46, Mouza Wandongri Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Millenium Developers & Promoters Pvt. Ltd. in partnership with Nagpur Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 694.40 Lakh  |

|                            |                |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Central Assistance         | Rs. 84.00 Lakh |
| State Share                | Rs. 56.00 Lakh |
| Implementing Agency Share  | 0              |
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs 554.40 Lakh |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 56             |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed scheme is in Wandongri Nagar Parishad limit.
- 2) Percentage of Dus offered under PMAY as per pricing Policy 50%, All 56 EWS DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy and 14 LIG DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy to achieve 50% DUs.
- 3) The land is in Green Zone.
- 4) The assurance of water supply from Wandongri Nagar Parishad is enclosed.
- 5) Assurance for electric supply from MSEDCL is attached.
- 6) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 18m
- 7) a) The estimated cost is Rs.15.00 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.10.38 lakhs. The estimated cost is 44.50% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs.12.4 lakhs which is 19.51% over ASR cost, this is more than permissible 20% as per GR. Further 14 LIG DUs are offered at Rs.18.14 Lakhs as per pricing policy. Hence proposal is to be placed before Pricing Committee.
- 8) If approved the sale of EWS & LIG DUs offered as per pricing policy to be incorporated in MoU to be signed between Project Proponent & Nagpur Board.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/23: Construction of 56 EWS & 77 LIG at Kh. No.380, Ph.No.46, Mouza Wandongri Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Millenium Developers & Promoters Pvt. Ltd. in partnership with Nagpur Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 694.40 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 84.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 56.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 554.40 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 56   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed scheme is in Wandongri Nagar Parishad limit.
- 2) Percentage of Dus offered under PMAY as per pricing Policy 50%, All 56 EWS DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy and 11 LIG DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy to achieve 50% DUs.
- 3) The land is in Green Zone.
- 4) The assurance of water supply from Wandongri Nagar Parishad is enclosed.
- 5) Assurance for electric supply from MSEDCL is attached.
- 6) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 18m
- 7) a) The estimated cost is Rs.15.00 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.10.38 lakhs. The estimated cost is 44.50% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs.12.4 lakhs which is 19.51% over ASR cost, this is more than permissible 20% as per GR. Further 14 LIG DUs are offered at Rs.18.14 Lakhs as per pricing policy. Hence proposal is to be placed before Pricing Committee.
- 8) If approved the sale of EWS & LIG DUs offered as per pricing policy to be incorporated in MoU to be signed between Project Proponent & Nagpur Board.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/24: Construction of 259 Dus (92 EWS & 162 LIG) at Kh.No.50/1, Ph.No.6, Mouza Digdoh, Tal. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Hakim Constructions Pvt. Ltd. in partnership with Nagpur Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 900.48 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 138.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 92.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs 670.48 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 92  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The proposed scheme is in NMR.
- 2) Percentage of Dus offered under PMAY as per pricing Policy 50%, All 56 EWS DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy and 38 LIG DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy to

achieve 50% DUs.

- 3) The land is in R - Zone.
- 4) The assurance of water supply from Jeevan Pradhikaran is enclosed, but the same is not on Letterhead of Jeevan Pradhikaran.
- 5) Assurance for electric supply from MSEDCL is attached.
- 6) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 24m,
- 7) a) The estimated cost is Rs.12.34. & 12.46 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.8.12 & 8.20 lakhs. The estimated cost is 52% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is over ASR cost, this is within permissible 20% as per GR. Further 38 LIG DUs are offered at Rs.16.03 & 15.95 Lakhs as per pricing policy.
- 8) If approved the sale of EWS & LIG DUs offered as per pricing policy to be incorporated in MoU to be signed between Project Proponent & Nagpur Board.

#### C. SLAC Appraisal: -

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

**29/25: Construction of 107 Dus (68EWS & 39 LIG) at Kh.No 9/1, Mouza Gavasi Manapur, Tal.Nagpur (Rural), Dist. Nagpur.**

#### A. Basic Information: -

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Rohit Iron & Steel (I) Pvt. Ltd. In partnership with Nagpur Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 451.20 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 60.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 40.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 351.20 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 68   |

#### B. SLAC Observations: -

- 1) The proposed scheme is in NMR.
- 2) **Percentage of Dus offered under PMAY as per pricing Policy 50%, All 40 EWS DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy and 14 LIG DUs are offered as per Pricing Policy to achieve 50.56% DUs.**
- 3) The land is in R - Zone.
- 4) The assurance of water supply from Gram Panchayat Ruyi which is not acceptable, the same is to be given by Jeevan Pradhikaran.
- 5) Assurance for electric supply from MSEDCL is attached.
- 6) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 24m,
- 7) **a) The estimated cost is Rs.16.90 lakhs**

b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.8.54 lakhs. The estimated cost is 98% over ASR cost.  
 c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs.11.28 lakhs  
 , this is beyond permissible 20% as per GR. Further 14 LIG DUs are offered at Rs.18.63 Lakhs as per pricing policy. Hence proposal is to be placed before Pricing Committee.

- 8) If approved the sale of EWS & LIG DUs offered as per pricing policy to be incorporated in MoU to be signed between Project Proponent & Nagpur Board.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/26: Construction of 271 Dus (175 EWS & 96 LIG ) at S.No.111, Village Bhadwad Tal.Bhivandi, Dist. Thane**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Siteman Homes in partnership with Konkan Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1952.00 Lakh                                    |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 214.50 Lakh                                     |
| State Share                 | Rs. 143.00 Lakh                                     |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs 1594.45 Lakh                                     |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 143   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R Zone
- 2) The assurance of water supply from Bhivandi Nizampur M.C. is enclosed.
- 3) Assurance for electric supply from Torrent Power Co. is attached.
- 4) It is mentioned that there is approach road of 9.00m and There is proposed 60.00m DP road. As per UDD min.18m road is required in urbanized areas.
- 5) Plans & estimates are not certified by Board Officials.
- 6) a) The estimated cost is Rs.15.87 lakhs  
 b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.11.38 lakhs. The estimated cost is 39.59 % over ASR cost.  
 c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs.13.65 lakhs which is 19.958% over ASR cost, this is within permissible 20% as per GR.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/27: Construction of 498 Dus at Gat.No.291/1,291/2 at village Nagobachiwadi. Tal. Barshi Dist. Pune.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. RSM innovo Developers in partnership with Pune Board   |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1868.36 Lakh for PMAY Rs. 2047.03 Lakh for free sale    |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 747.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 498.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1245.86 Lakh for PMAY & Rs. 1424.53 Lakh for free sale. |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 498   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Agricultural Zone.
- 2) The land under project is outside municipal limit at a distance of 1.00km.
- 3) The old abandoned stone quarry is seen on the project land and 17 kutcha houses are on the project land. There is no mention of rehabilitation / shifting of these structures.
- 4) 18.0 m wide existing approach is available.
- 5) Plans & estimates are not certified by Board Officials.
- 6) Annexure II undertaking not signed by CO/PB.
- 7) Assurance for Water supply & Electric supply from competent Authority not enclosed.
- 8) a) The estimated cost is Rs.8.22 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.4.96 lakhs ( $28.33 \times 1.1 \times 15929(15840+89)$ ). The cost as per ASR is wrongly calculated by considering Super builtup area. The estimated cost is 65.72% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs7.50lakhs which is 51.21% over ASR cost, this is beyond permissible 20% as per GR. Hence proposal is to be placed before Pricing Committee.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/28: Construction of 296 EWS, 50LIG, 56 Shops Dus at S.No.5/3/4A,5/4B/5A at Dhanori, Pune**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. A Plus Designs Park LLP partnership with Pune Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3920.50 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 331.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 221.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 3368.04 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 221  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R-Zone
- 2) No of Dus proposed are 296 EWS, 50 LIG & 50 Shops out of which 221 EWS Dus are offered as per Pricing Policy.
- 3) As per PPP guidelines only EWS & LIG DUs are permitted, proposal to be corrected accordingly
- 4) FSI proposed is 2.75 which is more than permissible 2.5.
- 5) Assurance for Water supply & Electric supply not enclosed.
- 6) Plans & estimates are not certified by Board Officials.
- 7) a) The estimated cost is Rs19.20 lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs.16.81 lakhs. The cost as per ASR is wrongly calculated by considering Super builtup area. The estimated cost is 14.22% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs17.74 lakhs which is 5.53% over ASR cost, this is within permissible 10% as per GR.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/29: Construction of 812 EWS, at S.No.145 H.No. 11,12,13 & S. No 149/1 at Ghotsai, Tal.Kalyan Dist. Thane**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP                                      |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Haware Group in partnership with Konkan |

|                            |                  |
|----------------------------|------------------|
|                            | Board.           |
| Project Cost               | Rs. 4736.30 Lakh |
| Central Assistance         | Rs. 630.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                | Rs. 420.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share  | Rs. 3686.26 Lakh |
| Beneficiary Share          | 0                |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 420              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Green Zone.
- 2) No of Dus proposed are 812 EWS, out of which 420 EWS Dus are offered as per Pricing Policy.
- 3) Assurance for Water supply & Electric supply enclosed.
- 4) Plans & estimates are not certified by Board Officials.
- 5) The time period considered in DPR is 48 months which is beyond PMAY mission Period.
- 6) a) The estimated cost is Rs12.926lakhs  
b) Cost as per ASR is Rs9.397 lakhs The estimated cost is 14.22% over ASR cost.  
c) the cost demanded by Project Proponent is Rs11.27 lakhs which is 20% over ASR cost, this is on par with permissible 20% as per GR.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSCM approval

**29/30: Construction of 81 EWS & 54 LIG DUs @ Gat. No15at Niphad Dist. Nashik**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. M.B. Surana Marketing Pvt. Ltd. In partnership with Nashik Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 426.60 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 121.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 81.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 224.10 Lakh  |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 81   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Green Zone. It is within Niphad Municipal limits. However, copy of DP plan & Google map showing connectivity not attached.
- 2) The land is in the name of one of the newly added partner of the company, however the Partnership deed is not enclosed.
- 3) Assurance for water supply & electric supply from competent authority not enclosed.
- 4) The area of land shown on 7/12 extract is 6900 sq.mtr, however the layout is on 8100 sq.mtr. this needs revision in proposal.
- 5) The name of project proponent is not reflected in 7/12 extract for the proposed area.
- 6) The estimated cost mentioned in the annexure 7B of DPR is incorrect. Further the plans & estimates are not certified by Board officials.
- 7) The project cost shown in the Ann. A&B & Executive Summary and Undertaking is not tallying with each other.
- 8) It is stated that 12m wide approach road is available to the scheme., the same cannot be verified in absence of DP plan. Further in Ann. 7 B width of access road is mentioned as 30.0m. Nashik Board to clarify.
- 9) The developer has offered all EWS DUs in PMAY component as per pricing policy dt. 26-11-2018.
- 10)a) The estimated cost per DU is Rs.10.74 lakh.  
b) The cost per DU as per ASR Rs.6.58 lakh based on carpet area x1.1 (Rera carpet)  
c) The estimated cost is 63.22% over ASR cost.  
d) The project proponent has claimed Rs7.90lakh per DU which is 20.06%over ASR cost which is more than stipulated 20% incentive as per GR. The Pricing Committee to decide on the sale price demanded by Project Proponent.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/31: Construction of 154 EWS DUs @ Gat. No223/2 at Niphad Dist. Nashik**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. M.B. Surana Marketing Pvt. Ltd. In partnership with Nashik Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1234.75 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 231.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 154.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 849.75 Lakh  |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 154  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Green Zone. It is within Niphad Municipal limits. However copy of DP plan & Google map showing connectivity not attached. .
- 2) The land is in the name of one of the partner of the company,
- 3) Assurance for water supply & electric supply from competent authority not enclosed.
- 4) The area of land shown on 7/12 extract is 5625 sq.mtr, however the layout is on 5690.84sq.mtr. & in it is mention as 6000 sq.m. in Annexure.this needs revision in proposal.
- 5) Annex.II undertaking is not in prescribed form i.e. 20 points.
- 6) The plans & estimates are not certified by Board officials.
- 7) It is stated that 12m wide approach road is available to the scheme., the same cannot be verified in absence of DP plan.
- 8) The developer has offered all EWS DUs in PMAY component as per pricing policy dt. 26-11-2018.
- 9) a) The estimated cost per DU is Rs.10.21 lakh.  
b) The cost per DU as per ASR Rs.6.68 lakh. (based on carpet area x1.1 (Rera carpet)  
c) The estimated cost is 52.84% over ASR cost.  
d) The project proponent has claimed Rs8.018 lakh per DU, which is 20.03%over ASR cost, which is more than stipulated 20% incentive as per GR. The Pricing Committee to decide on the sale price demanded by Project Proponent.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSCM approval

**29/32: Construction of 241 EWS & 75 LIG DUs @ S No5/1,69/1/1,9 at Village Bhadwad, Tal.Bhivandi Dist..Thane**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Vidisha Constructions in partnership with Konkan Board. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 2265.90 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 249.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 166.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1850.90 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 166  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R-zone. The land is within Bhivandi Nizampur Municipal Limit.
- 2) Assurance for water supply & electric supply from competent authority enclosed.
- 3) The project site has access road of 18.00m wide & 60m road available.
- 4) The plans & estimates are not certified by Board officials.
- 5) Land evaluation is not signed by Executive Engineer.
- 6) Cost bifurcation for EWS & LIG as per estimate prepared by Developer is not reflected in Executive Summary.
- 7) Ann.II undertaking is not signed by CO /Konkan Board.
- 8) a) The cost per unit as per earlier estimate is Rs.15,65,903/-  
b) The cost as per ASR is 11.37/-  
c) The difference between developer's price & price as per ASR is 37.73%.  
d) The Project Proponent has demanded Rs. 13.65 lakhs Which is 19.61% which is within permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/33: Construction of 408 EWS DUs @ S No335 at Village Manmad Tal.Nandgaon, Dist. Nashik**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Astavinayak Enterprizes in Partnership with Nashik Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 3522.00 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 612.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 408.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 2502.00 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 408   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Green Zone. However, in certificate given by municipal council the same land is considered in R-zone of revised DP. The land proposed is in Municipal limit.
- 2) Project period considered in DPR is 36 month which is beyond PMAY mission Period.
- 3) Approach of scheme is from 24.00 m wide road.
- 4) Cost as per estimate is Rs. 8.91 and Rs. 11.25 lakh as per ASR Rs.6.05 Lakh and 7.63 lakh (carpet area 23.50 and 29.65 sqm) the percentage difference between

**ASR and estimated cost is 47.00%.**

- 5) **The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.7.26 lakh and 9.15 lakh which is 20 % over ASR rate.** This is just on par with the permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR. Assurance for water supply, electricity is enclosed. Plans and Estimates are not certified by board officials.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/34: Construction of 104 EWS DUs @ S No 411/ 1/B /2B/ 1B/2 at Village Tongaon Tal. Bhadgaon, Dist. Jalgaon**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Shree Swami Land Developers in Partnership with Nashik Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1481.77 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 156.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 104.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 1221.70 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 104  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in Green Zone. The land proposed is in Municipal limit. Project period considered in DPR is 36 months which is beyond PMAY mission Period. Approach of scheme is from 12.0 m wide road. However, as UDD notification min of 15 m road is required.
- 2) **Cost as per estimate is Rs. 14.27 lakh and Rs.14.24 lakh as per ASR Rs.6.34Lakh Rs. 6.33Lakh the percentage difference between ASR and estimated cost is 125%. The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.7.61 lakh and Rs. 7.59 Lakh which is 20 % over ASR rate.**
- 3) This is just on par with the permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR. Assurance for water supply, electricity is enclosed. Plans and Estimates are not certified by board officials. Location is not marked on DP plan and google sheet.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/35: Construction of 84 EWS & 56 LIG Dws at plot no 30,31,32,33 @ SNo.69/1+2(part)+3 at Village Nashik Tal. & Dist. Nashik**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Sahyadri Associates in Partnership with Nashik Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 1002.00 Lakh                                     |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 126.00 Lakh                                      |
| State Share                 | Rs. 84.00 Lakh                                       |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 792.00 Lakh                                      |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 84   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R Zone Zone. The land proposed is in Municipal limit. Project period considered in DPR is 36 month which is beyond PMAY mission Period.
- 2) Approach of scheme is from 9.0 m wide road and 18 m wide road is proposed in the layout.
- 3) **Cost as per estimate is Rs. 18.61 lakh as per ASR Rs.9.94 Lakh the percentage difference between ASR and estimated cost is 87.2%. The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.11.93 lakh which is 20% over ASR rate. This is just on par with the permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR.**
- 4) **Assurance for water supply, electricity is enclosed. Plans and Estimates are not certified by board officials. Annexures 7B, undertaking annexure -II and executive summary are not signed by Board officials and even project proponent. Location is not marked on DP plan also the DP plan is black and white hence can't speak on zone and google sheet is not readable.**

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/36: Construction of 244 EWS DUs @ gut no 253 S No 107/2/A at Village Tal. Pachora Dist. Jalgaon**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Mangalam Infra in Partnership with Nashik Board |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 2101.00 Lakh                                |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 366.00 Lakh                                 |
| State Share                 | Rs. 244.00 Lakh                                 |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 1491.00 Lakh                                |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 244   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in green Zone. Project period considered in DPR is 36 months which is beyond PMAY mission Period. Approach of scheme is from 12.0 m wide road however UDD notification min of 15.0 m road is required.
- 2) **Cost as per estimate is Rs. 9.69 and Rs. 9.74lakh as per ASR Rs.7.15 Lakh and 7.19 lakh (carpet area 29.65 and 29.80 sqm) the percentage difference between ASR and estimated cost is 35.00%.**
- 3) **The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.8.58 lakh and 8.63lakh which is 20 % over ASR rate.** This is just on par with the permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR. Assurance for water supply, electricity is enclosed. Plans and Estimates are not certified by board officials. DP plan is not enclosed. Undertaking and executive summary is not signed by project proponent.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/37: Construction of 2364 EWS DUs @ Gut no 120/1,2,3 at Village Nimkhedi Tal. Jalgaon, Dist. Jalgaon**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP/PPP   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Chandani Enterprises in Partnership with Nashik |

|                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
|                            | Board             |
| Project Cost               | Rs. 18072.00 Lakh |
| Central Assistance         | Rs. 3546.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                | Rs. 2364.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share  | 0                 |
| Beneficiary Share          | Rs. 12162.00 Lakh |
| Total No of Dwelling Units | 2364              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The land is in R Zone. The land proposed is in Municipal limit. Project period considered in DPR is 36 month which is beyond PMAY mission Period. Approach of scheme is from 9.0 m wide road and 18 m wide road is proposed in the layout.
- 2) **Cost as per estimate is Rs. 9.94 lakh as per ASR Rs. 6.42 Lakh the percentage difference between ASR and estimated cost is 54.80%. The cost per DU demanded by project proponent is Rs.7.64 lakh which is 19 % over ASR rate.**
- 3) This is within the permissible incentive of 20% as per pricing policy GR. Assurance for water supply, electricity is enclosed. Plans and Estimates are not certified by board officials. Annexure-II undertaking is not enclosed.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**Projects under AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land**

**29/38: Construction of 2861 Ts under AHP under PMAY on (JV) with Government land owner bearing CTS no. 220, 341, 342, 343, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 420, 424, TP Scheme Final Plot no. 242, 243, 271, 132, 277, 277A, 277B, 227C, 258, 257, 262, 263, 227 at Baramati nagar parishad Tal-Baramati Dist- Pune, State-Maharashtra.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Baramati Municipal Council in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 20574.78 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 3787.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 2525.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 14262.28 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 20833.00 Lakh   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -****Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The guidelines issued by GoM vide GR dt.11/09/2018 are for the JV on the Private Lands. Directions for PMAY-AHP-JV project on Govt land & by Govt Dept are not yet issued, Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM.
2. It is stated in the report that presently these plots are occupied by proposed beneficiaries, it is further stated that the Project will be cross subsidized from the receipt of sale component of Tenements & commercial Units. However, the details are not submitted with proposal.
- 2) The land is situated within the limits of Municipal council of Baramati in Residential zone.
- 3) **The existing approach is 1) 12.00 mtrs for S. no 242 & 243. 2) 12.50 mtrs for S no. 271. 3) 15.00 Mtrs for S no. 132, 4) 30 Mtrs for S no. 277, 277A-C, 258 5) 30 Mtrs for S. No. 262,263,257 6) 15 Mtrs for S. no. 420, 424, 341 to 349 7) 18 Mtrs for S. No 220 8) 12 Mtrs S. No. for 227**
- 4) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Baramati Municipal Council.
- 5) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. **1) 8.29 Lacs for S. no 242 & 243. 2) 8.28 lacs for S no. 271. 3) 8.21 lacs for S no. 132, 4) 8.55 lacs for S no. 277, 277A-C, 258 5) 7.62 lacs for S. No. 262,263,257 6) 8.66 lacs for S. no. 420, 424, 341 to 349 7) 7.98 lacs for S. No 220 8) 8.04 lacs S. No. for 227** the cost as per ASR is Rs.9.94 lacs, 9.94 lacs, 8.15 lacs, 9.94 lacs, 9.94 lacs, 8.98 lacs, 8.98 lacs, 9.94 lacs respectively.
- 6) However, the cost recommended by Maha Housing is **1) 8.29 Lacs for S. no 242 & 243. 2) 8.28 lacs for S no. 271. 3) 8.21 lacs for S no. 132, 4) 8.55 lacs for S no. 277, 277A-C, 258 5) 7.62 lacs for S. No. 262,263,257 6) 8.66 lacs for S. no. 420, 424, 341 to 349 7) 7.98 lacs for S. No 220 8) 8.04 lacs S. No. for 227.**
- 7) 7. Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by Baramati Nagar Parishad. and different Govt dept. The consent from various dept to execute the project in question under PMAY-AHP-JV is not enclosed with the proposal.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/39: Construction of 927 EWS Tenements under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.1132,1133A/1/A at Shirur, Tal. Nshirur, District-Pune, State-Maharashtra**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd.<br>In JV with Shirur Nagar Parishad. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 12606.24 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1390.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 927.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | 0   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 10288.73 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 927   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The DPR does not mention about the tender notice issued for inviting JV proposals as required by the G.R. From the transparency point of view.
- 2) As per 2(4) the land needs to be in the residential zone.
- 3) As per 2(5) the land needs to be within the jurisdiction of Urban Local Body (ULB).
- 4) There is no mentioned about landowner shares and Maha Housing Shares as per clause 4 of the G.R.
- 5) In many cases it is unclear whether the required processing fee is paid or not.

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The guidelines issued by GoM vide GR dt.11/09/2018 are for the JV on the Private Lands. Directions for PMAY-AHP-JV project on Govt land & by Govt Dept are not yet issued, Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM.
- 2) It is seen from photograph that, there are some structures on the site.
- 3) The land bearing S.no.1133 it is stated in Zone certificate that the said land is outside the gaonthan area in sector 2 & is situated within the limits of Municipal council of Shirur in Residential zone.
- 4) The existing approach is 18.00 mtrs wide road.
- 5) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Shirur Municipal Council.
  - a) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. 13.59 Lacs
  - b) The cost as per ASR is mentioned as Rs.9.98 lacs & is wrongly calculated & the details of ready reckoner does not tally with the same. However, the cost recommended by Maha Housing is 13.59 Lacs.
- 6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by Shirur Nagar Parishad.
- 7) It is stated in the approval given by Collector to execute scheme under PMAY vide letter dt.4-2-2019 that the rehabilitation of the encroachers on the said land to be done by Municipal Council & it is further stated that the eligibility is to be decided by Municipal Council as per guidelines of PMAY Vertical
- 8) If there is an existing slum on the land identified, the proposal is best suited for ISSR

component. Maha Housing has not commented in this regard.  
9) Plans & estimates are not certified by Maha Housing.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

**29/40: Construction of 704 EWS, 396 LIG & 112 shops Tenements under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.49/2 at Yavatmal.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd.<br>In JV with Yavatmal Nagar Parishad |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 5323.36 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1056.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 704.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1591.36 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 1972.00 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 704  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The DPR does not mention about the tender notice issued for inviting JV proposals as required by the G.R. Form the transparency point of view.
- 2) As per 2(4) the land needs to be in the residential zone.
- 3) As per 2(5) the land needs to be within the jurisdiction of Urban Local Body (ULB).
- 4) There is no mentioned about landowner shares and Maha Housing Shares as per clause 4 of the G.R.
- 5) In many cases it is unclear whether the required processing fee is paid or not.

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) **Earlier DPR submitted by Yavatmal Municipal Council under AHP vertical is approved by CSMC in its 35th meeting held on 25-6-2018. Now on the same land JV is proposed by Maha Housing.**
- 2) The guidelines issued by GoM vide GR dt.11/09/2018 are for the JV on the Private Lands. Directions for PMAY-AHP-JV project on Govt land & by Govt Dept are not yet issued, Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted

as per Guidelines issued by GoM.

- 3) The land bearing S.No.49/2 is in the name of Yavatmal Municipal Council & situated within the limits of Municipal council of Yavatmal, in Residential zone.
- 4) It is mention in the minutes of meeting between Maha Housing & Yavatmal Municipal Council that there are 451 slums on the land bearing S.No.49/2, S.No.65 & S.No.41. further 620 slum dwellers of other areas are proposed to be rehabilitated in 3 JV schemes. In the scheme of S.No. 49/2 total of 344 slum dwellers are proposed for rehab at concessional rate. If there is an existing slum on the land identified, the proposal is best suited for ISSR component. Maha Housing has not commented in this regard.
- 5) The existing approach is 24.00 mtrs wide road.
- 6) a) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. 7.39 lakhs for EWS -I & Rs.7.73 Lakhs for EWS II.  
b) The cost recommended by Maha Housing is 3.00 Lakhs for EWS -I for rehab of slums & 7.50 lakhs for EWS II Lacs for others from cross subsidy from sale of LIG & Shops.
- 7) The Annexure II undertaking is not as per format i.e.20 points.
- 8) The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY mission period of 2022.
- 9) Plans & estimates are not certified by Maha Housing.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/41: Construction of 1128 EWS, 450LIG & 94 shops under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.65 at Yavatmal.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd.<br>In JV with Yawatmal Nagar Parishad |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 8657.92 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1692.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1128.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1962.92 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 3876.00 Lakh   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1128   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The DPR does not mention about the tender notice issued for inviting JV proposals as required by the G.R. Form the transparency point of view.
- 2) As per 2(4) the land needs to be in the residential zone.
- 3) As per 2(5) the land needs to be within the jurisdiction of Urban Local Body (ULB).
- 4) There is no mentioned about landowner shares and Maha Housing Shares as per clause 4 of the G.R.
- 5) In many cases it is unclear whether the required processing fee is paid or not.

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinised & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) **Earlier DPR submitted by Yavatmal Municipal Council under AHP vertical is approved by CSMC in its 35th meeting held on 25-6-2018. Now on the same land JV is proposed by Maha Housing.**
- 2) The guidelines issued by GoM vide GR dt.11/09/2018 are for the JV on the Private Lands. Directions for PMAY-AHP-JV project on Govt land & by Govt Dept are not yet issued, Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM.
- 3) The land bearing S.No.49/2 is in the name of Yavatmal Municipal Council & situated within the limits of Municipal council of Yavatmal. in Residential zone.
- 4) **It is mention in the minutes of meeting between Maha Housing & Yavatmal Municipal Council that there are 451 slums on the land bearing S.No.49/2, S.No.65 & S.No.41. further 620 slum dwellers of other areas are proposed to be rehabilitated in 3 JV schemes. In the scheme of S.No. 65 total of 392 slum dwellers are proposed for rehab at concessional rate, if there is an existing slum on the land identified, the proposal is best suited for ISSR component. Maha Housing has not commented in this regard.**
- 5) The existing approach is 24.00 mtrs wide road.
- 6) a) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. 7.39 lakhs for EWS -I & Rs.7.73 Lakhs for EWS II.  
b) The cost recommended by Maha Housing is 3.00 Lakhs for EWS -I for rehab of slums & 7.50 lakhs for EWS II Lacs for others from cross subsidy from sale of LIG & Shops.
- 7) The Annexure II undertaking is not as per format i.e.20 points.
- 8) **The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY mission period of 2022.**
- 9) Plans & estimates are not certified by Maha Housing.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/42: Construction of 768 EWS, 468 LIG & 44 Shops under AHP under PMAY on bearing S. No.41 at Yavatmal.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Govt-ULB Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. In JV with Yawatmal Nagar Parishad |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 5854.62 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 1152.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 768.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1606.62 Lakh  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 2328.00 Lakh  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 768   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The DPR does not mentioned about the tender notice issued for inviting JV proposals as required by the G.R. Form the transparency point of view.
- 2) As per 2(4) the land needs to be in the residential zone.
- 3) As per 2(5) the land needs to be within the jurisdiction of Urban Local Body (ULB).
- 4) There is no mentioned about landowner shares and Maha Housing Shares as per clause 4 of the G.R.
- 5) In many cases it is unclear whether the required processing fee is paid or not.

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinised & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) Earlier DPR submitted by Yavatmal Municipal Council under AHP vertical is approved by CSMC in its 35th meeting held on 25-6-2018. Now on the same land JV is proposed by Maha Housing.
- 2) The guidelines issued by GoM vide GR dt.11/09/2018 are for the JV on the Private Lands. Directions for PMAY-AHP-JV project on Govt land & by Govt Dept are not yet issued, Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM.
- 3) The land bearing S.No.41 is in the name of Yavatmal Municipal Council & situated within the limits of Municipal council of Yavatmal, in Residential zone.
- 4) It is mention in the minutes of meeting between Maha Housing & Yavatmal Municipal Council that there are 451 slums on the land bearing S.No.49/2, S.No.65 & S.No.41. further 620 slum dwellers of other areas are proposed to be rehabilitated in 3 JV schemes. In the scheme of S.No. 41 total of 335 slum dwellers are proposed for rehab at concessional rate, if there is an existing slum on the land identified, the proposal is best suited for ISSR component. Maha Housing has not commented in this regard.

- 5) The existing approach is 24.00 mtrs wide road
- 6) a) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. 7.30 lakhs for EWS -I & Rs.7.88 Lakhs for EWS II.  
b) The cost recommended by Maha Housing is 3.00 Lakhs for EWS -I for rehab of slums & 7.50 lakhs for EWS II Lacs for others from cross subsidy from sale of LIG & Shops.
- 7) The Annexure II undertaking is not as per format i.e.20 points.
- 8) The time period considered is 36 months which runs beyond PMAY mission period of 2022.
- 9) Plans & estimates are not certified by Maha Housing.

### C. SLAC Appraisal: -

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

### Projects under AHP-JV on Pvt Land

**29/43: Construction of 9473 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S.No. 141, 142, 143, 144, 102,103, 104, 86, 88, 89, 244(p), 90/A, 243A, 82B, 83B, 84, 85/B, 91/A, 90/B, 91/B, 93/A, 93/B, 92, 81(p), 82/A(p), 83/A(p), 58/1/A(p), 94/B(p) at Diva Tal- Thane, District Thane, State-Maharashtra.**

### A. Basic Information: -

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Shri Aditya Goyal and Smt Lata Goyal in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 171483.90 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 14209.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 9473.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 147801.37 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 9473   |

### B. SLAC Observations: -

#### Remarks: -

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed.
- 2) The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of Thane in Residential

zone.

- 3) The existing approach is 30.00 mtrs.
- 4) The assurance regarding water supply is not received from Thane Municipal Corporation.
- 5) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.18.10 lakhs. the cost as per AS R is Rs.10.51 lakhs. which is wrongly calculated. Cost as per ASR comes to  $29.00 \times 1.1 \times 29700 = 947430/-$  However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.18.10 lakhs.
- 6) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear the percentage of Profit sharing offered to PP and consent, therefore.
- 7) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the Shri Aditya Goyal and Smt. Lata Goyal, however the area given on the 7/12 extract does not tally with area shown on layout.
- 8) Executive summary needs to be signed by PP.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/44: Construction of 3601 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S.No. 51, 52 at Mouza Khairi, 59/2, 60 at Mouze Bhilgaon, Tal- Kamathi, District-Nagpur, State-Maharashtra.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Sandesh Homes. In JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 45631.68 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 5401.50 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 3601.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 36629.17 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 3601  |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinised & recommended by MD & CEO Maha Housing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed. The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of **Nagpur in Residential east B-PK 001 (parks & garden) partly R-3 Zone.**
- 2) The existing approach is 60.00 mtrs.
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Bhilgaon Grampanchayat. The same is required from NMRDA.
- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.12.67 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.9.74 lakhs. The same is wrongly calculated. Cost as per ASR comes to  $29 \times 27500 \times 1.1 = 8.77250/-$  However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.12.67 lakhs.
- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear the percentage of Profit sharing offered to PP and consent, therefore.
- 6) 6. Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. Sandesh Homes

#### C. SLAC Appraisal: -

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/45: Construction of 1368 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 165/1 & 166/1 at Mouza Dhabha, Nagpur State Maharashtra**

#### A. Basic Information: -

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. KAPISH VENTURS in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 22241.87 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 2052.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1368.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 18821.87 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1368  |

#### B. SLAC Observations: -

##### Remarks: -

The proposal is scrutinised & recommended by MD & CEO Mahahousing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed. The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of **Nagpur in Residential zone.**

- 2) The existing approach is 12.00 mtrs.
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Nagpur Municipal Corporation.
- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.16.25 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.13.04 lakhs. The same is wrongly calculated. The cost as per ASR comes to  $27.90 \times 1.10 \times 35400/- = 1086426/-$  However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.16.25 lakhs.
- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear the percentage of Profit to be offered to PP and consent, therefore.
- 6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. KAPISH VENTURS, however the area given on the 7/12 extract does not tally with area shown on layout.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/46: Construction of 1640 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 95/1 & 95/2 At Waghdhara, Tal-Hingana Dist- Nagpur, State-Maharashtra.**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. SWASTIK HOMES & INFRASTRUCTURES in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 21083.24 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 2460.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1640.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 16983.25 Lakh  |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1640   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Mahahousing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed. The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of Nagpur in **R3 Residential zone**.
- 2) The existing approach is **18.00 mtrs**.
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is not received from Grampanchayat.

- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs. 12.85 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.10.71 lakhs. the same is wrongly calculated. The cost as per ASR comes to  $27.90 \times 1.10 \times 29072 = 89220/-$  However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.12.85 lakhs.
- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear the percentage of Profit sharing offered to PP and consent, therefore.
- 6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. SWASTIK HOMES & INFRASTRUCTURES.

### C. SLAC Appraisal: -

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

**29/47: Construction of 1640 Ts under AHP under PMAY on private land bearing S. No. 42/1, 239, 240 at Titwala, Taluka- Kalyan, District: Thane, State Maharashtra.**

### A. Basic Information: -

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Mahaganapati Developers in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 34868.53 Lakh  |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 2460.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1640.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 30768.53 Lakh  |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1640   |

### B. SLAC Observations: -

#### Remarks: -

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Mahahousing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed. The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of kalyan in **Green zone**.
- 2) The existing approach is **24.00 mtrs.**
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Kalyan Dombiwali Municipal Corporation.
- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.21.26 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.16.20 lakhs. The same is wrongly calculated. the cost per DUs as per ASR comes to  $= 28.81 \times 1.10 \times 38300 = 1213765/-$ . However, the cost recommended by Maha Housing is

Rs.21.26 lakhs.

- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear whether the scrutiny fee is collected from PP or otherwise. It is not clear the percentage of Profit to be offered to PP and consent, therefore.
- 6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. Mahaganpati Developers.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/48: Construction of 5740 Tenements under AHP under PMAY Housing Scheme on private land bearing S. No. 98/3/D/1,2,3, 121/6, 121/8, 145/2/A, 145/4/A, 145/4/B, 122/6, 145/3/A, 145/3/B, 145/5/A, 145/5/B, 123/1/C, 124, 125/11,12,13,14, 138/1/A, 123/1/A, 123/1/B, 123/2, 125/6/A, 125/6/B, 125/6/E, 125/6/C, 125/7/A, 125/7/B, 125/7/D, 125/7/E, 125/8, 125/9, 125/10, 126/1/A,B, 126/2/B, 126/3,5,6,7, 130/1,2, 138/2,3 at Titwala, Tal. Kalyan, District -Thane, State-Maharashtra**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land   |
| Name of Implementing Agency | M/s. Elysium Infrastructure in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 118212.50 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 8610.00 Lakh   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 5740.00 Lakh   |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 103862.62 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0  |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 5740   |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

**Remarks: -**

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Mahahousing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed. The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of kalyan in **Green zone**.
- 2) The existing **approach is proposed 30 DP Road, existing 9.0 mtrs.**
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Kalyan Dombiwali Municipal Corporation.
- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.20.59 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.16.20 lakhs. The

same is wrongly calculated. The ASR cost comes to  $28.81 \times 1.10 \times 38300 = 1213765/-$  The However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.20.59 lakhs.

- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per Guidelines issued by GOM. It is not clear whether the scrutiny fee is collected from PP or otherwise. It is not clear the percentage of Profit sharing offered to PP and consent therefore.
- 6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. Elysium Infrastructure.

### C. SLAC Appraisal: -

The project is recommended for SLSMC approval

**29/49: Construction of 1608 Tenements under AHP under PMAY on Private land bearing S. No. 107 at Chunchale, Tal. Nashik, District -Nashik, State-Maharashtra**

### A. Basic Information: -

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Component                   | AHP-JV on Pvt Land  |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Shri Kiran Sitaram Chavan in JV with Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation Ltd. |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 21381.34 Lakh   |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 2412.00 Lakh  |
| State Share                 | Rs. 1608.00 Lakh  |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 17361.34 Lakh   |
| Beneficiary Share           | 0   |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 1608  |

### B. SLAC Observations: -

#### Remarks: -

The proposal is scrutinized & recommended by MD & CEO Mahahousing. From the DPR it is seen that:

- 1) The land Evaluation is done by MD/Maha Housing is done. The committee for the said purpose is not formed.
- 2) The land is situated within the limits of Municipal corporation of Nashik in **Residential zone**. The existing **approach is 18.00 mtrs.**
- 3) The assurance regarding water supply is received from Nasik Municipal Corporation.
- 4) The cost as per Estimate is Rs.13.29 lakhs the cost as per ASR is Rs.11.08 lakhs. The same is wrongly calculated, the cost as per ASR comes to  $27.97 \times 1.10 \times 30900 = 950700/-$  However the cost recommended by Maha Housing is Rs.13.29 lakhs.
- 5) Since the said proposal is submitted under AHP-JV, the report is not submitted as per

Guidelines issued by GoM. It is not clear the percentage of Profit Sharing offered to PP and consent, therefore.

6) Regarding land ownership, the land is owned by the M/s. Kiran Sitaram Chavan.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The project is recommended for SLSCMC approval

**Projects under BLC – Revision of Project**

**26/50: Construction of 5 EWS Dws in various locations in Talegaon Dabhade Municipal Council, District Pune**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                             |                                |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Component                   | BLC                            |
| Name of Implementing Agency | Talegaon Municipal Corporation |
| Project Cost                | Rs. 40.15 Lakh                 |
| Central Assistance          | Rs. 7.5 Lakh                   |
| State Share                 | Rs. 5 Lakh                     |
| Implementing Agency Share   | Rs. 1.91 Lakh                  |
| Beneficiary Share           | Rs. 25.74 Lakh                 |
| Total No of Dwelling Units  | 5                              |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

- 1) The DPR was approved in 39th CSMC dated 30th Oct 2018 for 27 EWS units, now the same DPR is revised to 5 EWS units.
- 2) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The proposal is recommended for approval of SLSCMC

**Projects under BLC – Gender Modification Request**

**26/51: Construction of 221 EWS Dws under BLC at various places in UMARKHED MUNICIPAL COUNCIL ( DPR - 3)**

**A. Basic Information: -**

|                                     |                                |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Component                           | BLC                            |
| Name of Implementing Agency         | Umarkhed Municipal Corporation |
| Previously approved Males           | 10                             |
| Previously approved Females         | 211                            |
| Proposed for Modification – Males   | 7                              |
| Proposed for Modification – Females | 214                            |

**B. SLAC Observations: -**

Umarkhed municipal Council has requested for gender modification for approval.

**C. SLAC Appraisal: -**

The proposal is recommended for approval of SLSMC

**Projects under BLC**

A total of 82 proposals were made and the number of EWS DUs were 13209.

List of BLC projects are mentioned below. The details of these projects are provided in **Annexure – II.**

| S. No. | ULB/IA                      | DISTRICT | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|--|
| 1      | Ahmadpur Municipal Council  | Latur    | 87      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 2      | Akola Municipal Corporation | Akola    | 361     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 3      | Akola Municipal Council     | Akola    | 184     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 4      | Akot Municipal Council      | Akola    | 78      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                         | DISTRICT   | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------|---------|--|
| 5      | Alibag Municipal Council       | Raigadh    | 16      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 6      | Ambad Municipal Council        | Jalana     | 104     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 7      | Ambajogai Municipal Council    | Beed       | 82      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 8      | Amravati Municipal Corporation | Amravati   | 389     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 9      | Ballarpur Municipal Council    | Chandrapur | 58      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 10     | Baramati Municipal council     | Pune       | 290     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 11     | Barshi Municipal Council       | Solapur    | 811     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 12     | Basmat Nagar Municipal Council | Hingoli    | 150     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 13     | Bhoom Municipal Council        | Osmanabad  | 232     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 14     | Chalisgaon Municipal Council   | Jalgaon    | 113     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                          | DISTRICT   | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|---------------------------------|------------|---------|--|
| 15     | Chikhaldara Municipal Council   | Amravati   | 31      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 16     | Chikhali Municipal Council      | Buldana    | 252     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 17     | Chiplun Municipal Council       | Ratnagairi | 23      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 18     | Daryapur Municipal Council      | Amravati   | 202     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 19     | Daund Municipal Council         | Pune       | 40      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 20     | Deulgaon Raja Municipal Council | Buldana    | 271     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 21     | Digras Municipal Council        | Yavatmal   | 109     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 22     | Dudhani Municipal Council       | Solapur    | 74      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 23     | Gadhinglaj Municipal Council    | KOLHAPUR   | 19      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 24     | Guhagar nagar Pnachayat         | Ratnagairi | 11      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                                 | DISTRICT   | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|--|------------|---------|--|
| 25     | Hingoli Municipal Council              | Hingoli    | 421     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 26     | Hupri Municipal Council                | Kolhapur   | 21      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 27     | Ichalkaranji Municipal Council         | Kolhapur   | 50      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 28     | Jalgaon Jamod Municipal Council        | Buldana    | 336     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 29     | Jalgaon Municipal Corporation          | Jalgaon    | 110     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 30     | Jejuri Municipal Council               | Pune       | 24      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 31     | Jintur Municipal Council               | Aurangabad | 700     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 32     | Junnar municipal council               | Pune       | 25      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 33     | Junnar Municipal Council               | Pune       | 25      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 34     | Kalmeshwar - Bramhni Municipal Council | Nagpur     | 46      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                             | DISTRICT    | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|--|
| 35     | Karad Municipal Council            | Satara      | 58      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 36     | Kasai- Dodamarg nagar Pnachayat    | Sindhudurga | 70      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 37     | Kavathe Mahankal Municipal council | Sangali     | 74      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 38     | Khapa Municipal council            | Nagpur      | 30      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 39     | Khuldabad Municipal Council        | Aurangabad  | 154     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 40     | Lohara Nagar Panchayat             | Osmanabad   | 200     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 41     | Lonar (sarovar) Municipal Council  | BULDHANA    | 77      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 42     | Lonavala Municipal Council         | Pune        | 44      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 43     | Lonavala Municipal Council         | Pune        | 27      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 44     | Lonavala Municipal Council         | Pune        | 33      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                            | DISTRICT | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|--|
| 45     | Maindargi Municipal council       | Solapur  | 89      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 46     | Maindargi Municipal council       | Solapur  | 90      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 47     | Majalgaon Municipal Council       | Beed     | 578     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 48     | Malegaon Nagarpanchayat           | Washim   | 61      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 49     | Manora Nagar Panchayat            | Washim   | 140     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 50     | Mantha Nagar Panchayat.           | Jalana   | 142     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 51     | Mehkar Municipal Council          | Buldana  | 77      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 52     | Mehkar Municipal Council Phase II | Buldana  | 84      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 53     | Mohol Municipal Council           | Solapur  | 185     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 54     | Mohol Municipal Council           | Solapur  | 409     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                       | DISTRICT   | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|------------------------------|------------|---------|--|
| 55     | Mohpa Municipal Council      | Nagpur     | 59      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 56     | Nagpur Municipal Corporation | Nagpur     | 600     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 57     | Nagpur Municipal Corporation | Nagpur     | 250     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 58     | Nandurbar municipal council  | Nandurbar  | 105     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 59     | Narkhed Municipal Council    | Nagpur     | 129     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 60     | Navapur Municipal Council    | Nandurbar  | 100     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 61     | Palus Municipal Council      | Sangli     | 44      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 62     | Risod Municipal Council      | Washim     | 122     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 63     | Samudrapur Nagar Panchayat   | Wardha     | 44      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 64     | Sangamner municipal council  | Ahmadnagar | 109     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                            | DISTRICT   | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|--|
| 65     | Saoli Nagar Panchayat             | Chandrapur | 158     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 66     | Saswad Municipal Council          | Pune       | 71      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 67     | Shahada Municipal Council         | Nandurbar  | 255     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 68     | Shegaon Municipal Council         | Buldana    | 374     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 69     | Shendurjanaghat Municipal Council | Amravati   | 110     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 70     | Shendurni Nagar Panchayat         | Jalgaon    | 326     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 71     | Shindkhed raja municipal council  | Buldhana   | 52      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 72     | Shirur Municipal Council          | Pune       | 25      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 73     | Tasgaon Municipal Council         | Sangli     | 110     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 74     | Tasgaon Municipal Council         | Sangli     | 162     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |


| S. No. | ULB/IA                     | DISTRICT  | EWS Dus | SLAC Appraisal                               |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| 75     | Tiosa Nagar Panchayat      | Amravati  | 272     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 76     | Tumsar Municipal Council   | Bhandara  | 193     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 77     | Udgir Municipal Council    | Latur     | 115     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 78     | Vita Municipal Council     | Sangli    | 70      | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 79     | Washi Nagar Panchayat      | Osmanabad | 251     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 80     | Washim municipal council   | Washim    | 200     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 81     | Washim Municipal Council   | Washim    | 250     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |
| 82     | Yavatmal Municipal Council | Yavatmal  | 286     | Project is recommended for approval of SLSMC |


## Capacity Building Plan 2020-21


| Annual Capacity building plan for 2020 - 2021 |                         |                          |                          |                      |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Sr no   | Activity                | GOM Share (Rs. In Lakhs) | GOI Share (Rs. In Lakhs) | Total (Rs. In Lakhs) |
| 1   | Establishment of SLTC   | 20.40                    | 61.20                    | 81.60                |
| 2   | Establishment of CLTC   | 695.85                   | 2087.55                  | 2783.40              |
| 3   | Trainings and Workshops | 0                        | 20.0                     | 20.0                 |
| 4   | TPQM                    | 302.40                   | 907.20                   | 1209.60              |
| 5   | Social Audit            | 0.00                     | 28.00                    | 28.00                |
| 6   | Geo tagging             | 0.00                     | 490.93                   | 490.93               |
|   | Total                   | 1018.65                  | 3594.88                  | 4613.53              |

### SLAC Appraisal: -

The Capacity building plan for 2020- 21 for PMAY (U) Maharashtra is recommended for approval of SLSMC.

  
Chief Engineer / MHADA  
& Member, SLAC

  
Executive Engineer-I/PMAY, MHADA  
& Member Secretary, SLAC/PMAY

  
V.P. & C.E.O./MHADA, Mission Director-  
PMAY  
& Vice Chairman, SLAC/PMAY

  
Additional Chief Secretary,  
Housing Department  
& Chairman, SLAC/PMAY

## **Annexure-I (List of Participants)**

List of Participants in the 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of State Level Appraisal Committee (SLAC) of PMAY (U) dated 20.03.2020

|  |
|--|
| <b>Committee Members:</b>  |
| i. Shri Sanjay Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department                     |
| ii. Shri Milind Mhaiker, , VP & CEO MHADA, Mission Director, Member - SLAC               |
| iii. Shri Rajendra Miragane, Joint Chairman, Maharashtra Housing Development Corporation |
| iv. Shri R.K. Dhanawade, Deputy Secretary, Housing Department, Member - SLAC             |
| v. Shri Sanjay R. Lad, Chief Engineer-II, MHADA & Member                                 |
| vi. Shri D.M. Muglikar, Executive Engineer, PMAY(U), MHADA & Member Secretary            |
| <b>Other Officers present:</b>   |
| i. Shri P.P Joshi, Deputy Engineer, MHADA  |
| ii. Shri. A.S. Kulkarni, Deputy Engineer, MHADA  |

## Annexure – II (List of 82 BLC Projects with details)

| S. No. | ULB/IA                      | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 1      | Ahmadpur Municipal Council  | 87      | 130.5                | 87                   | 27.05                | 323.47                       | 568.02                      | 6.529                    | 1) A separate certificate regarding assurance of water supply and Electricity not submitted. 2) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.                                     |
| 2      | Akola Municipal Corporation | 361     | 541.5                | 361                  | 101.4                | 1126.2                       | 2130.1                      | 5.9006                   | 1) Frequently submitting small DPRs for same ULB which resulting in difficulties in tracking records of such small DPRs. ULB to submit the combined DPRs in order to avoid difficulties in tracking records. 2) Ward wise marking of beneficiaries are not done on DP sheet. |
| 3      | Akola Municipal Council     | 184     | 276                  | 184                  | 51.72                | 574.34                       | 1086.1                      | 5.9025                   | 1) Some of the plots are small in size, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) Sample documents should be certified by ULB. 3) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.   |
| 4      | Akot Municipal Council      | 78      | 117                  | 78                   | 21.87                | 242.37                       | 459.24                      | 5.8877                   | 1) One of the beneficiary is having area less than 30.00 Sq.mtr, ULB to ensure that the plot is developable. 2) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.  |
| 5      | Alibag Municipal Council    | 16      | 24                   | 16                   | 0                    | 62.57                        | 102.57                      | 6.4106                   | 1) DP sheet along with ward wise marking of beneficiaries are required to be submitted with DPR by ULB. 2) Certified copy of beneficiary list should be resubmitted by ULB.  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                         | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 6      | Ambad Municipal Council        | 104     | 156                  | 104                  | 0                    | 342.78                       | 602.78                      | 5.796                    | 1) Attached DRAFT DP plan is not readable. 2) Sample documents of ownership of land not enclosed. Photographs showing status of existing open plot or structure not attached. 3) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.                                       |
| 7      | Ambajogai Municipal Council    | 82      | 123                  | 82                   | 0                    | 294.38                       | 499.38                      | 6.09                     | 1) Income details and type of existing sturcture are not given with the beneficiary list, same should be furnished with the DPR. 2) Readable copy of DP sheet along with ward wise marking is rquired to be submitted by the ULB. 3) Google map alongwith ward wise marking is required to be submitted by ULB.   |
| 8      | Amravati Municipal Corporation | 389     | 583.5                | 389                  | 0                    | 1106.9                       | 2079.4                      | 5.3456                   | 1) Wardwise marking along with number of beneficiaries on DP sheet is required to be attached with the DPR. 2) Undertaking for water supply and electricity attached is not signed, certified copy of the undertaking should be submitted by ULB. 3) Google map attached is not certified by ULB, certified copy of the same is required to be attached by ULB. |
| 9      | Ballarpur Municipal Council    | 58      | 87                   | 58                   | 0                    | 191.14                       | 336.14                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Wardwise marking should be done by ULB on DP sheet. 2) Beneficiary list should be certified by ULB.  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                         | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 10     | Baramati Municipal council     | 290     | 435                  | 290                  | 0                    | 1038.1                       | 1763.1                      | 6.0795                   | 1. Google map is not attached.2 Proposed and earlier sanctioned Beneficiaries are not marked on DP Plan.2. most of the beneficiaries showing Semi pakka House type ULB may Verify.4) ULB confirm the plot sizes / areas particularly around 20 sqmt (sr no.272,288,271,260,250) are buildable as per DC Rules. 6. Dus cost is varying between 5.91 to 6.26 lacks as carpet area varyiong from 26.41 sqm to 29.99 sqmt. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 11     | Barshi Municipal Council       | 811     | 1216.5               | 811                  | 0                    | 2911.5                       | 4939                        | 6.09                     | 1) DPR is found in order.   |
| 12     | Basmat Nagar Municipal Council | 150     | 225                  | 150                  | 0                    | 563.7                        | 938.7                       | 6.258                    | 1) Sample documents of ownership of land not enclosed. Photographs showing status of existing open plot or structure not attached.<br>2) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Numberof Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet.<br>3) Google map sheet not enclosed. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |
| 13     | Bhoom Municipal Council        | 232     | 348                  | 232                  | 0                    | 1064.5                       | 1644.5                      | 7.0881                   | 1) Wardwise marking should be done on DP sheet by ULB   |
| 14     | Chalisgaon Municipal Council   | 113     | 169.5                | 113                  | 0                    | 359.4                        | 641.9                       | 5.6805                   | 1) Some of the plot sizes are ranging from 18 Sq.m to 25 Sq.m i.e. too much small in sizes, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 3) In beneficiary list details of income, type of existing structure etc are not furnished. 4) Ownership and area of plot as per documents does not matched with beneficiary list. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                          | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 15     | Chikhaldara Municipal Council   | 31      | 46.5                 | 31                   | 0                    | 102.16                       | 179.66                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Carpet Area calculations are not furnished with the typical plans, ULB should furnish the same.<br>2) The plot area of sample document and in Beneficiary list not matched. The ULB should submit the details before CSMC.  |
| 16     | Chikhali Municipal Council      | 252     | 378                  | 252                  | 78.31                | 936.18                       | 1644.5                      | 6.5258                   | 1) Some of the plot areas are less than 30.00 Sq.mtr, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) ULB to submit the undertaking regarding ULB grant. 3) Ward wise marking should be done by ULB on DP sheet.  |
| 17     | Chiplun Municipal Council       | 23      | 34.5                 | 23                   | 0                    | 75.83                        | 133.33                      | 5.797                    | 1) Incomplete Annexure-II. i.e. point No. 20 not mentioned,  |
| 18     | Daryapur Municipal Council      | 202     | 303                  | 202                  | 0                    | 665.69                       | 1170.7                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Carpet Area calculations are not furnished with the typical plans, ULB should furnish the same. ULB should submit the details before CSMC.  |
| 19     | Daund Municipal Council         | 40      | 60                   | 40                   | 0                    | 146.12                       | 246.12                      | 6.153                    | 1) Wardwise marking on DP sheet is not done. ULB is required to submit the same. 2) Some of the plots are below 30.00 Sq.mtr ULB to ensure that the plots are developable.   |
| 20     | Deulgaon Raja Municipal Council | 271     | 406.5                | 271                  | 0                    | 893.08                       | 1570.6                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Certified google sheet should be submitted by ULB. 2) Carpet Area calculations not given on plan. 3) Ownership of beneficiaries mentioned in beneficiary list not tallying with the sample documents attached. (Raju Kisan Jadhav, & Satish Yadav Pakhare ). 4) Construction specifications not given.5) Annexure II (Undertaking) is not in standard format as point No. 20 is not considered in it. All above points should be complied by ULB. |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                       | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 21     | Digras Municipal Council     | 109     | 163.5                | 109                  | 0                    | 407.28                       | 679.78                      | 6.2365                   | 1) Carpet area calculations are not furnished with the typical plans, ULB should furnish the same. 2) The details like area of plot, income details & type of existing structure are not given with the DPR, ULB should submit the details before CSMC. 3) ULB should submit the readable copy of DP sheet along with ward wise marking on it.  |
| 22     | Dudhani Municipal Council    | 74      | 111                  | 74                   | 0                    | 243.88                       | 428.88                      | 5.7957                   | 1) DP sheet along with ward wise marking of beneficiaries are required to be submitted with DPR by ULB.   |
| 23     | Gadhinglaj Municipal Council | 19      | 28.5                 | 19                   | 0                    | 62.62                        | 110.12                      | 5.7958                   | DPR found in order.   |
| 24     | Guhagar nagar Pnachayat      | 11      | 16.5                 | 11                   | 0                    | 36.25                        | 63.75                       | 5.7955                   | 1) Incomplete Annexure-II. i.e. point No. 16 to 20 not mentioned, 2) Plot Area of Prakash Sangale as per Document and beneficiary not tallied,  |
| 25     | Hingoli Municipal Council    | 421     | 631.5                | 421                  | 117.7                | 1300.9                       | 2471.1                      | 5.8695                   | 1) Carpet Area shown in 7-C form as 29.48 sq. mt where as in plan 29.14 Sq. mt. The ULB shall be confirm the same. 2) Certified copy of google map should be submitted by ULB. 3) Some of the plots having the too small area like 9, 10, 12, 15 sq. mt. and so on. The ULB shall be confirm that whether these plots are buildable as per DC rules. 4) Sample documents of ownership of land, residence etc are not attached. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 26     | Hupri Municipal Council      | 21      | 31.5                 | 21                   | 0                    | 69.22                        | 121.72                      | 5.7962                   | DPR found in order.   |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                          | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 27     | Ichalkaranji Municipal Council  | 50      | 75                   | 50                   | 13.59                | 146.8                        | 285.38                      | 5.7077                   | 1) Certified copy of beneficiary list (Each page) should be submitted by ULB). 2) Certified copy of DP sheet should be submitted by ULB. 3) The details of plot areas & income are not given with the DPR, same are required to be submitted by ULB.  |
| 28     | Jalgaon Jamod Municipal Council | 336     | 504                  | 336                  | 101.8                | 1196.7                       | 2138.6                      | 6.3648                   | 1) A separate certificate regarding assurance of water supply and Electricity are not submitted. 2) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. 3) Enclosed Beneficiary List not readable & NOT IN STANDARD FORMAT. Plot areas are not mentioned in beneficiary list. DPR submitted for 336 beneficiaries, however beneficiary list submitted for 337 beneficiaries. 4) DP plan is not readable. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 29     | Jalgaon Municipal Corporation   | 110     | 165                  | 110                  | 30.54                | 335.76                       | 641.3                       | 5.83                     | 1) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.  |
| 30     | Jejuri Municipal Council        | 24      | 36                   | 24                   | 0                    | 79.1                         | 139.1                       | 5.7958                   | 1) Ward wise marking of beneficiaries on DP sheet is required to be submitted by ULB.   |
| 31     | Jintur Municipal Council        | 700     | 1050                 | 700                  | 0                    | 2336.6                       | 4086.6                      | 5.838                    | 1) DP sheet is not attached with DPR, ULB should submit it showing ward wise marking. 2) Beneficiary list should be certified by ULB.   |
| 32     | Junnar municipal council        | 25      | 37.5                 | 25                   | 7.12                 | 79.952                       | 149.57                      | 5.9829                   | 1) City profile documents not signed by ULB chief. 2) Executive summary sheet not signed by CO. 3) Sample documents not certified by ULB. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |
| 33     | Junnar Municipal Council        | 25      | 37.5                 | 25                   | 7.21                 | 81.603                       | 151.31                      | 6.0525                   | 1) DP sheet and google map showing ward wise marking on it are not attached with DPR, ULB to submit the same. 2) Sample documents showing ownership are not attached, ULB to submit the same.   |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                               | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 34     | Kalmeshwar Bramhni Municipal Council | 46      | 69                   | 46                   | 0                    | 151.59                       | 266.59                      | 5.7954                   | 1) Carpet area mentioned in typical plan -II and in 7-C form not tallying. In Typical Plan I carpet area calculations not given. 2) Incomplete documents of Gift-deed. Plot Area not mentioned in the Gift Deed.  |
| 35     | Karad Municipal Council              | 58      | 87                   | 58                   | 0                    | 200.16                       | 345.16                      | 5.951                    | 1) Certified copy of google sheet should be submitted by ULB. 3) Beneficiary list not submitted in prescribed format. Type of existing structure not mentioned in list. 4) In Beneficiary List - Name of the beneficiary not found as per submitted ownership documents, Plot area does not match with ownership documents. The ULB shall be ensured the beneficiaries name and numbers of beneficiary, their plot area as per documents. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |
| 36     | Kasai-Dodamarg nagar Pnachayat       | 70      | 105                  | 70                   | 0                    | 230.69                       | 405.69                      | 5.7956                   | 1) Land ownership not proved the Beneficiary named Sameer Mohan Gardi. 2) In Beneficiary List At sr. no. 52 and 58 plot area not mentioned.   |
| 37     | Kavathe Mahankal Municipal council   | 74      | 111                  | 74                   | 0                    | 243.87                       | 428.87                      | 5.7955                   | 1. Beneficiary list- all pages not signed by CO. All sample documents are not certified. sample document attached for beneficiary serial no 28 of shri laxman dhanwant jadhav is not adequate as the 7/12 extract showing lien (Boja) of credit society. 2. Beneficiary list - area of plot for above person is showing 36 Sqm and in 7/12 extract it is 236.20 sqm. ULB may clarify. Beneficiary list area of plot for Ashok Sakharan Chavan at sr. no 3 is showing 37.00 Sqm and in 7/12 extract it is 30.45 sqm ULB may clarify. 4. Plans are not certified by Chief Officer. 5. carpet area mentioned in plan is not tally with carpet area given in 7C form. ULB should comply all above remarks and make necessary corrections. |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                            | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 38     | Khapa Municipal council           | 30      | 45                   | 30                   | 0                    | 98.87                        | 173.87                      | 5.7957                   | 1. Beneficiaries are not marked on Google Map. 2. Earlier sanctioned DPR wise DUs are not marked on Dp plan. 3. Carpet area calculation is not given. 4. sample document attached for beneficiary serial no 23 of Shri Mangesh Bavankule is not adequate as the 7/12 extract is absent. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 39     | Khuldabad Municipal Council       | 154     | 231                  | 154                  | 0                    | 581.97                       | 966.97                      | 6.279                    | 1) Certified copy of DP sheet showing ward wise marking should be submitted by ULB. 2) The details of ownership and type of existing structure are not furnished with the DPR, same is required to be submitted by ULB.  |
| 40     | Lohara Nagar Panchayat            | 200     | 300                  | 200                  | 0                    | 585.7                        | 1085.7                      | 5.4285                   | 1) Beneficiary list needs corrections as plot areas are mentioned repeatedly same. ULB to check the same and resubmit it before SLSMC.   |
| 41     | Lonar (sarovar) Municipal Council | 77      | 115.5                | 77                   | 0                    | 253.75                       | 446.25                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Carpet Area calculations are not furnished with the typical plans. The ULB should submit the details before SLSMC.  |
| 42     | Lonavala Municipal Council        | 44      | 66                   | 44                   | 13.06                | 151.15                       | 274.21                      | 6.232                    | 1) Some of the plot areas are small in sizes, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) Frequently submitting small DPRs for same ULB which resulting in difficulties in tracking records of such small DPRs. ULB to submit the combined DPRs in order to avoid difficulties in tracking records.                             |
| 43     | Lonavala Municipal Council        | 33      | 49.5                 | 33                   | 9.65                 | 110.56                       | 202.71                      | 6.1427                   | 1) DP sheet and google map are attached but ward wise marking on it is not done, ULB to submit the same. 2) One of the plo is shown as 18.00 Sq.Mtr, ULB to ensure that the plot is developable. 3) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                      | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 44     | Lonavala Municipal Council  | 27      | 40.5                 | 27                   | 7.91                 | 90.75                        | 166.16                      | 6.1541                   | 1) DP sheet and google map are attached but ward wise marking on it is not done, ULB to submit the same. 2) Some of the plots are less than 25.00 Sq. Mtr, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 3) Undertaking regarding ULB share is required to be submitted by ULB.   |
| 45     | Maindargi Municipal council | 89      | 133.5                | 89                   | 0                    | 293.32                       | 515.82                      | 5.7957                   | 1. Earlier sanctioned and Proposed DPR wise No. of Beneficiaries are not marked on DP plan & Google Map. 2. Carpet area calculation is not given on both plan. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |
| 46     | Maindargi Municipal council | 90      | 135                  | 90                   | 0                    | 296.63                       | 521.63                      | 5.7959                   | 1) In Annexure II - Undertaking Point No 20 not included as per standard Guidelines. 2) Earlier sanctioned and proposed DPR wise no of beneficiaries are not marked on DP plan and Google map. 3) Carpet area not given in both plan. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |
| 47     | Majalgaon Municipal Council | 578     | 867                  | 578                  | 0                    | 2050.7                       | 3495.7                      | 6.048                    | 1) DP sheet is not attached with DPR, ULB should submit it showing ward wise marking. 2) Beneficiary list should be certified by ULB. 3) Income details are not furnished with the DPR. ULB should submit the same along with certified beneficiary list. 4) Carpet area calculations are not given with typical plan, same should be submitted by ULB. |
| 48     | Malegaon Nagarpanchayat     | 61      | 91.5                 | 61                   | 0                    | 201.04                       | 353.54                      | 5.7957                   | 1) Carpet Area mentioned in 7-C form and on plan not matched. 2) Plot areas mentioned in beneficiary list and sample documents are not tallying with each other. 3) sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                            | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 49     | Manora Nagar Panchayat            | 140     | 210                  | 140                  | 0                    | 461.38                       | 811.38                      | 5.7956                   | 1. Beneficiaries are not marked on google map. 2. Dp sheet is not attached. 3. Typical type 2 plan carpet area calculation is not given. 4. In typical type 1 plan carpet area calculation is different from carpet area mentioned in 7 C form. 5. Sample documents are not certified by CO. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.                               |
| 50     | Mantha Nagar Panchayat.           | 142     | 213                  | 142                  | 0                    | 479.96                       | 834.96                      | 5.88                     | 1) Attached DRAFT DP plan is not readable. 2) Incomplete documents of ownership of land are attached. 3) Area mentioned in survey sheet not tallying with area mentioned in Beneficiary list. 4) Google sheet not attached. 5) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 51     | Mehkar Municipal Council          | 77      | 115.5                | 77                   | 0                    | 253.75                       | 446.25                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Wardwise marking should be done by ULB on DP sheet.  |
| 52     | Mehkar Municipal Council Phase II | 84      | 126                  | 84                   | 0                    | 276.83                       | 486.83                      | 5.7956                   | 1) Annexure II (UNDERTAKING) is not as per standard format as point no 20 is not considered in it. 2) Carpet area calculations not shown in plan. 3) Sample documents attached, particularly ownership are not readable. 4) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.    |
| 53     | Mohol Municipal Council           | 185     | 277.5                | 185                  | 0                    | 609.72                       | 1072.2                      | 5.7958                   | 1) Certified copy of beneficiary list (Each page) should be submitted by ULB).  |
|        |                                   |         |                      |                      |                      |                              |                             |                          |   |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                       | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 54     | Mohol Municipal Council      | 409     | 613.5                | 409                  | 0                    | 1347.9                       | 2370.4                      | 5.7955                   | 1) Carpet area mentioned in typical plan -II and in 7-C form not tallying. In Typical Plan I carpet area calculations not given. 2) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet.  |
| 55     | Mohpa Municipal Council      | 59      | 88.5                 | 59                   | 0                    | 194.48                       | 341.98                      | 5.7963                   | 1) Carpet area mentioned in typical plan -II and in 7-C form not tallying. In Typical Plan I carpet area calculations not given. 2) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet.  |
| 56     | Nagpur Municipal Corporation | 600     | 900                  | 600                  | 0                    | 1500.6                       | 3000.6                      | 5.001                    | 1) DP sheet attached is not readable, ULB should resubmit the same alongwith ward wise beneficiary marking. 2) Income details are not given in beneficiary list, ULB should furnish the details. 3) Google map is not attached with the DPR, ULB should attach the same before SLSMC.  |
| 57     | Nagpur Municipal Corporation | 250     | 375                  | 250                  | 0                    | 643.98                       | 1269                        | 5.0759                   | 1) DP sheet attached is not readable, ULB should resubmit the same along with ward wise beneficiary marking. 2) Income details are not given in beneficiary list, ULB should furnish the details. 3) Google map is not attached with the DPR, ULB should attach the same before SLSMC.   |
| 58     | Nandurbar municipal council  | 105     | 157.5                | 105                  | 0                    | 388.34                       | 650.84                      | 6.1985                   | 1) Google map attached is not certified, ULB to submit the certified copy of the same. 2) Undertakings and certificates should be in standard format and should have headings of the DPR on it, ULB to submit the corrected copies of the same. 3) Sample documents are not certified by ULB. ULB should submit the certified copies of the same. 4) Some of the plots are too small in sizes like 18.00 Sq. mtr, 19.00 Sq. mtr etc. ULB to ensure that the plots are developable as per guidelines. |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                     | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 59     | Narkhed Municipal Council  | 129     | 193.5                | 129                  | 0                    | 0                            | 322.5                       | 2.5                      | 1. Carpet area given in 7c is not tally with Typical Plan Area.2. Previously approved Dus are not marked on DP plan.3. At serial no 120 of beneficiary list plot area shown is zero. (0.00) ULB may clarify. How the beneficiary is taken in proposal.   |
| 60     | Navapur Municipal Council  | 100     | 150                  | 100                  | 0                    | 421.02                       | 671.02                      | 6.7102                   | 1) Some of the plot areas are smaller in size, less than 25.00 Sq.mtr. ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) Certified Copy of beneficiary list should be attached by ULB. 3) The details of ownership and type of existing structure should be submitted with the DPR. 4) Sample documents are not attached with the DPR, ULB should attach the same before SLSMC. |
| 61     | Palus Municipal Council    | 44      | 66                   | 44                   | 0                    | 145                          | 255                         | 5.7955                   | 1)As per sample documents Plot area of CHHAYA APPA BHOSALE and SUSHAMA AVINASH KULKARNI and area mentioned in Beneficiary List not matched. 2) Carpet area mentioned on plan and 7-C form is not matched.  |
| 62     | Risod Municipal Council    | 122     | 183                  | 122                  | 0                    | 287.2                        | 592.2                       | 4.8541                   | 1) Annexure II (Undertaking) needs corrections, ULB to correct the same and resubmit it.   |
| 63     | Samudrapur Nagar Panchayat | 44      | 66                   | 44                   | 0                    | 145                          | 255                         | 5.7955                   | 1. DP PLAN is not Attached. 2. Earlier sanctioned and Proposed DPR wise No. of Beneficiaries are not marked on DP plan & Google Map. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |
|        |                            |         |                      |                      |                      |                              |                             |                          |  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                             | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 64     | Sangamner municipal council        | 109     | 163.5                | 109                  | 0                    | 396.99                       | 669.49                      | 6.1421                   | 1) Google map attached is not certified, ULB to submit the certified copy of the same. 2) Undertakings and certificates should be in standard format and should have headings of the DPR on it, ULB to submit the corrected copies of the same. 3) Sample documents are not certified by ULB. ULB should submit the certified copies of the same. 4) Some of the plots are too small in sizes like 13.00 Sq. mtr, 14.00 Sq. mtr etc. ULB to ensure that the plots are developable as per guidelines. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 65     | Saoli Nagar Panchayat              | 158     | 237                  | 158                  | 0                    | 520.72                       | 915.72                      | 5.7957                   | 1) Annexure II (Undertaking) is not in standard format as point No. 20 is not considered in it. ULB should submit the corrected undertaking.  |
| 66     | Saswad Municipal Council           | 71      | 106.5                | 71                   | 0                    | 289.93                       | 467.43                      | 6.5835                   | 1) Wardwise marking on DP sheet is not done. ULB is required to submit the same. 2) Some of the plots are below 30.00 Sq.mtr ULB to ensure that the plots are developable.  |
| 67     | Shahada Municipal Council          | 255     | 382.5                | 255                  | 0                    | 1070.3                       | 1707.8                      | 6.6971                   | 1) Some of the plot areas are smaller in size, less than 25.00 Sq.mtr. ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) Certified Copy of beneficiary list should be attached by ULB.   |
| 68     | Shegaon Municipal Council          | 374     | 561                  | 374                  | 115.6                | 1377.1                       | 2427.7                      | 6.4911                   | 1) Some of the plot areas are less than 25.00 Sq.mtr, ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) ULB to submit the undertaking regarding ULB grant.   |
| 69     | Shendurjan aghat Municipal Council | 110     | 165                  | 110                  | 34.07                | 406.45                       | 715.52                      | 6.5047                   | 1) Some of the plot areas are ranging between 18 Sq. mt to 25 Sq. mt in sizes which are too small. ULB should ensure that the plots are developable. 2) ULB should submit the DP sheet showing ward wise marking on it.   |
| 70     | Shendurni Nagar Panchayat          | 326     | 489                  | 326                  | 0                    | 1372.3                       | 2187.3                      | 6.7095                   | 1) The beneficiary list should be certified on each page by ULB. 2) DP sheet showing ward wise marking on it is not submitted by ULB, same should be submitted by ULB.  |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                          | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 71     | Shindkhed raja muncipal council | 52      | 78                   | 52                   | 14.88                | 167.65                       | 312.53                      | 6.0102                   | 1.) Documents checklist /Information required in DPR instead of original paper 2nd page is coloured Xerox paper attached. 2) City profile documents are not signed by CO. 3) In drawing sets M/s Pooja Consultants plans are not signed by CO. 4) Earlier sanctioned and Proposed DPR wise no of Beneficiaries are not marked on DP plan & Google Map. 5) One DP plan not signed by CO. 6) Sample documents are not certified. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.  |
| 72     | Shirur Municipal Council        | 25      | 37.5                 | 25                   | 0                    | 72.27                        | 134.77                      | 5.3908                   | 1) Annexure II (Undertaking) is not in standard format as point No. 20 is not considered in it. ULB should submit the corrected undertaking. 2) Cost per DU mentioned in executive summary and estimate not tallying with each other, ULB should correct the same. 3) Plan required for option I is not submitted with DPR, ULB to submit the same. 4) Sample document are unsigned and area mentioned in sample document as well as in list are not tallying with each other. ULB to correct the remarks.   |
| 73     | Tasgaon Municipal Council       | 110     | 165                  | 110                  | 0                    | 379.27                       | 654.27                      | 5.9479                   | 1) In 7-C form (GOM) Carpet Area for DU shown as Max 25.20 Sq.m and Min 24.20 Sq.m whereas, as per plan it is shown as 27.25 and 28.55 sqm type I & II respectively. Which are not tallying with each other. 2) Beneficiary list not submitted in prescribed format. Type of existing structure is not mentioned in list. 3) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. 4) In beneficiary List Digvijay and Vishwajeet Pratap Patil found in one Family. The ULB shall be ensure the eligibility of beneficiaries and numbers of beneficiaries, their plot area as per documents. |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                    | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks   |
|--------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 74     | Tasgaon Municipal Council | 162     | 243                  | 162                  | 0                    | 558.42                       | 963.42                      | 5.947                    | 1) 7-C form- In 7-C form (GOM) Carpet Area per DU shown as Max 25.20 Sqm and Min 24.20 Sqm however as per plan it is shown as 27.25 and 28.55 sqm Option I & II respectively, which are not tallying with each other. 2) Beneficiary list not submitted in prescribed format. type of existing structure not mentioned in list. 3) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. 4) In beneficiary List plot sizes are too small i.e. ranging between 9.00 sq. mt to 21.00 Sq. mt ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 5) The ULB shall be ensured the beneficiaries name and numbers of beneficiary and their plot areas as per documents. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 75     | Tiosa Nagar Panchayat     | 272     | 408                  | 272                  | 0                    | 896.38                       | 1576.4                      | 5.7955                   | 1) The Carpet area mentioned in OPTION I plan (29.92 Sqm) does not matched with carpet area mentioned in 7-C form (28.55 Sq. mtr) 2) Carpet Area calculations not shown in OPTION II plan.  |
| 76     | Tumsar Municipal Council  | 193     | 289.5                | 193                  | 0                    | 636.06                       | 1118.6                      | 5.7956                   | 1) Annexure II (Undertaking) is not in standard format as point No. 20 is not considered in it. 2) Carpet area calculations are not given in typical plans. 3) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |
| 77     | Udgir Municipal Council   | 115     | 172.5                | 115                  | 36.21                | 436.66                       | 760.36                      | 6.6119                   | 1) Three plots are too small in size i.e. ranging from 23 Sq.m to 27 Sq.m. ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 2) A separate certificate regarding assurance of water supply and Electricity are not submitted. 3) Earlier sanctioned DPR wise Number of Dus and proposed Number of Dus not shown in DP sheet. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |

| S. No. | ULB/IA                     | EWS Dus | Gol Share (Rs. Lacs) | GOM share (Rs. Lacs) | I/A share (Rs. Lacs) | Beneficiary share (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Project cost (Rs. Lacs) | EWS Unit Cost (Rs. Lacs) | Remarks  |
|--------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 78     | Vita Municipal Council     | 70      | 105                  | 70                   | 0                    | 241.52                       | 416.52                      | 5.9503                   | 1) Photographs showing status of existing open plot or structure not attached. 2) The Land ownership and area as per attached documents and as per Beneficiary list shall be confirmed by the ULB. 3) The beneficiary list shall be submitted in standard format. All above remarks should be complied by ULB. |
| 79     | Washi Nagar Panchayat      | 251     | 376.5                | 251                  | 0                    | 1172.8                       | 1800.3                      | 7.1723                   | 1) Wardwise marking should be done on DP sheet by ULB. 2) The details of ownership, type of existing structure and income details are not furnished in the beneficiary list, same should be submitted by ULB.  |
| 80     | Washim municipal council   | 200     | 300                  | 200                  | 49.12                | 728.2                        | 1277.3                      | 6.3866                   | 1) City profile documents not signed by ULB chief. 2) Proposed and earlier sanctioned DPR wise Dus are not shown on DP plan and Google map. 3) Sample documents not certified by ULB. All above remarks should be complied by ULB.   |
| 81     | Washim Municipal Council   | 250     | 375                  | 250                  | 68.95                | 1098.8                       | 1792.7                      | 7.1708                   | 1) Cost per DU is on higher side i.e. 7.17 Lakh, ULB should check the same. 2) Readable copy of DP sheet should be submitted by ULB.   |
| 82     | Yavatmal Municipal Council | 286     | 429                  | 286                  | 0                    | 1062.8                       | 1777.8                      | 6.216                    | 1) Wardwise marking on DP sheet is not done. ULB is required to submit the same. 2) Some of the plots are below 30.00 Sq.mtr ULB to ensure that the plots are developable. 3) Estimates are unsigned, ULB to submit the certified copy of the same.  |

